EXHIBIT

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW
OAL DOCKET NO. EDS 4902-08

R.M. AND B.M. O/B/O H.M.,

Petitioner,

-vs-

HADDON HEIGHTS BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Respondent.

TRANSCRIPT

OF

RECORDED PROCEEDINGS

December 8, 2008

BEFORE:

THE HONORABLE JOSEPH F. MARTONE, A.L.J.

APPEARANCES:

REISMAN CAROLLA, LLP

By: Catherine Merino Reisman, Esq.

Attorney(s) for Petitioner

CAPEHART & SCATCHARD, P.A.

By: Joseph Betley, Esq.

Attorney(s) for Respondent

CRT SUPPORT CORPORATION
Transcriber: Kelly Sellers

Case 1;09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 3 of 153 PageID: 1307

	INDEX			2	
WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS	
BARBARA WILLIAMS					
By Mr. Bentley By Ms. Reisman	6	54	109		
JAYNE ELFRETH					
By Mr. Bentley By Ms. Reisman	120	128			
GEORGE RAFFERTY					
By Mr. Bentley By Ms. Reisman	135	142			

Case 1;09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 4 of 153 PageID: 1;	93-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 4 of 153 PageID: 1308
--	---

EXHIBITS

<u>NO.</u>	DESCRIPTION	I.D.	EVID.
P-20	Powerpoint presentation	97	
P-21	St. Joseph's report	142	148
R-33	C.V. of Dr. Williams	16	17
R-34	Report	22	25
R-35	June 16, 2008 letter	138	139

1	THE COURT: All right. Good morning. We're
2	now on the record. My name is Joseph F. Martone. I'm
3	an Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Office of
4	Administrative Law to hear this matter. This is a case
5	entitled R.M. and B.M. o/b/o H.M. v. Haddon Heights
6	Board of Education. This has an OAL docket number EDS
7	4902-08 and agency reference number 2008-13674.
8	May I have your appearances for the record,
9	please?
10	MR. BENTLEY: Good morning, Judge. Joseph
11	Bentley of representing Haddon Heights Board of
12	Education.
13	THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Bentley.
14	MS. REISMAN: Katherine Reisman of Reisman
15	Corolla, LLP representing petitioners.
16	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am, Ms. Reisman.
17	Let me state that the Office of Administrative Law is
18	an independent state agency. Our function is to hear
19	and decide matters such as this in a fair and impartial
20	manner. We are neither employed by nor are we
21	associated with the Haddon Heights Board of Education
22	or any of the parties to this proceeding.
23	The only other announcement I'll make is that
24	I the first day of hearing I entered an oral order
25	to the effect that I will redact or ordering,

THE WITNESS: Barbara Boll (phonetic) Williams.

THE COURT: All right, Dr. Williams. Counsel, you may proceed.

25 MR. BENTLEY: Thank you, Judge.

22

23

Case 1	:09-cv-0429	93-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 7 of 153 PageID: 1311 Williams - Direct 6
1	DIRE	CT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENTLEY:
2		Q Good morning, Dr. Williams.
3	A	Good morning.
4		Q What is your current position?
5	A	I'm an associate professor in the Special
6	Educ	ation Department of Rowan University.
7		Q Previously Glassboro?
8	A	Previously Glassboro State College.
9		Q Okay.
10	A	Now Rowan University. Yes.
11		Q Okay. Tell us a little bit more about what
12	your	role is as an associate professor at Rowan.
13	A	Okay. I I coordinate the school psychology
14	prog	ram so that I work with graduate students who are -
15	- I	teach them, coordinate and advise them, who are
16	seek	ring to become school psychologists.
17		Q And do you also teach classes?
18	A	I do. I teach classes in educational assessment,
19	psyc	hological evaluations. I coordinate the
20		Q Okay. Slow down a little bit.
21	A	Okay.
22		Q I don't think Judge Martone's
23	· A	Okay.
24		Q shorthand is that good.
25	A	Okay.

BY MR. BENTLEY:

- Q And these are graduate students?
- 15 A All graduate students.
- 16 Q Okay.
- 17 A Yes.

- Q And how long have you held this position at
- 19 Rowan?
- 20 A This is my eighth year.
- Q Now since you started at Rowan have you held
 the same titles and had the same duties --
- 23 A (Coughing.)
- Q -- or -- eight years?
- 25 A Well I had a promotion from an assistant to an

;	ıse	9	
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
1	0		
1	1		
1	2		
1	3		
1	4		
1	5		
1	6		
1	7		
1	8		
1	9		
2	0		
2	1		
2	2		

23

24

25

associate and I'm currently applying to be promoted to a full professor. It's a matter of time and experience.

- Q Are you tenured?

 A Oh, yes.
- Q Now what is -- tell us about your state certifications.

A Okay. I'm certified as a school psychologist. I began my career, my undergraduate degree was in -- as a teacher. I'm certified as a teacher in New Jersey.

And then have graduate work in -- as a counselor and as a school psychologist, as an administrator, so I've done a lot of things prior to going to Rowan.

Q Now your duties at Rowan, are you involved in any way in -- in teaching or -- or providing guidance for these graduate students in terms of determining eligibility for special education?

A Sure. Yeah. As part of the assessment process when you're -- you're teaching students how to gather data, to evaluate youngsters and then of course the next step would be -- and -- and what do we do with that, what -- what and what is the decision making process that you would use in order to make a determination of whether a child would be eligible for special education or whether they would be not eligible

THE WITNESS: Yes. Psychology.

> Psychology. Oh. THE COURT:

BY MR. BENTLEY:

20

21

22

23

24

25

Were -- dissertation? 0

My dissertation was -- the title was "Learned Α Helplessness, Explanation of Children's Reaction to Divorce" in 1989.

24

25

Yes.

Q

Okay.

Α

1	A I was there for 25 years until the opportunity
2	came along to move into higher education. So I
3	functioned as a school psychologist and then for many
4	of those years, I want to say all but three or four of
5	those years, about 21 years I was also the director of
6	special education. But I also I continued to
7	function as a school psychologist to have the direct
8	contact with kids.
9	Q Okay. And in your capacity as a school
10	psychologist and as a director were you involved in
11	determinations of eligibility special education?
12	A Yes, but actually only as a school psychologist
13	because it's really the team, the child study team that
14	has along with the parent, the teachers that makes the
15	recommendation or arrives at the the decision in
16	terms of eligibility.
17	Q But in your role as a director have you had
18	the opportunity to supervise teams in
19	A Yes. Yes.
20	Q For for how many years and about how many
21	evaluations eligibility?
22	A Oh, wow. That's a hard question. I would say for
23	about 22 of those years I was working as a director and
24	I it would be very hard to estimate how many

decisions there would be. Perhaps, I don't know, 65,

1 70 a year. You know, I'm -- I'm estimating.

O Okay.

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A So over that 25 years I was --

Q Now in your capacity as the -- a professor at Rowan in -- the program there --

A Right.

Q Were you involved in helping the students in terms of, especially interns --

A Right.

Q -- in determination decisions?

A Yes.

12 Q Okay. In what capacity?

A Well we have a seminar which is called Colloquium which students come to once --

Q What is it --

A It's called Colloquium in School Psychology. So while students are out in the field working in respective districts throughout, you know, throughout the area, then we come together at least once a month and one of the topics that we discuss is, you know, what are the kind of things that you-re -- situations that you're encountering that would be -- that would -- we could discuss, of course, you know, just without names, anonymously, that would be helpful to you as well as the individual opportunity for supervision so

that there -- the students that I actually supervised in the field where I go to visit them at their schools, because there's other people -- there's another professor who works with me with this.

But when I visit them that's frequently what we talk about because I go out and say, you know, "What are some of the issues facing you? How can I help you?" And we often talk about, you know that -- the eligibility issues.

Q Okay. Now you're -- in addition to your duties at Rowan, are you still involved in -- in doing evaluations for school districts?

A I am. Yes, yeah. I continue to work for

Educational Services Commission where they provide

services to non-public schools so that I'm working in a

parochial school at this point as on an as needed

basis, but working as a child study team member.

THE COURT: What is the name? Educational?

THE WITNESS: Educate -- Camden County

Educational Services Commission.

THE COURT: Okay.

THE WITNESS: So I'm currently at Christ the King School in Haddonfield.

BY MR. BENTLEY:

. | |

Q So they -- they -- the Commission, the

- 11 A -- which then is funded through public money to
- 12 provided services to children who attend parochial
 13 schools.
- Q And you're involved in that -- that --
- 15 A I am.
- 16 Q In that process? In what capacity?
- A As a school psychologist. So I do the --
- 18 Q Doing evaluations?
- 19 A Yes. And determining eligibility.
- 20 Q Okay.
- 21 A Yeah.
- Q And how long have you worked with the Camden
 County Educational Services Commission?
- 24 A I want to say 20 years. Estimate at 20 years.
- Q Any other duties at -- at the present time in

1 addition to Rowan?

A I continue to be a consultant for Voorhees Public Schools and Haddonfield Public Schools when they need me. You know, when there's an opportunity, either a difficult case or just the workload is -- is -- is needed.

- Q And again in what capacity?
- A As a school psychologist.
 - Q Okay. You -- you worked your whole life with school districts.
- 11 A Yes.
 - your -- your -- your -- any alleged biases or prejudices or anything along those lines?
 - A Well I think that throughout my career what I've attempted to be is, although -- is -- is really to be a child advocate because I think it's important that we have the -- the luxury when we're evaluating children individually to say what is ideal, what would be the -- what would be the best situation for this child? You know, we -- we can have an individual prospective. So I'm attempting to say within -- you know, within reason what would be the best situation.
- 24 Q Okay.
 - A Which is not only the most popular situation or

16 Identification.)

17 BY MR. BENTLEY:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Dr. Williams, could you take a look at that particular document?

A Yes. This was the -- it looks like the -- the C.V. that I provided. Not completely up to date, but basically up to date. Yeah.

Q Can you take a -- I don't want you to -- it's a rather lengthy C.V. Just -- if you could just scan to make sure this is the actual C.V. that you provided?

Case 1:	09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 18 of 153 PageID: 1322 Williams - Direct 17	
1	A It does. It looks like it. Yes.	
2	Q And do you recall when you prepared this?	
3	A It would have been in September, I believe.	
4	MR. BENTLEY: I'd like to move R-33 in	
5	evidence.	
6	THE COURT: Any objection or voir dire?	
7	MS. REISMAN: No.	
8	THE COURT: All right. R-33 in evidence.	
9	(R-33 received in	
10	Evidence.)	
11	MR. BENTLEY: Okay. I'd like to move	
12	present Dr. Williams as a expert witness on the issue	_
13	of eligibility for special education.	
1.4	THE COURT: Any objection?	
15	MS. REISMAN: No.	
16	THE COURT: Wasn't that one of the functions	
17	of a school psychologist? I mean I'm just trying to	
18	determine if that's a a separate category of	
19	expertise.	
19 20	expertise. MR. BENTLEY: I believe it is.	

there's no separate certifications from the State.

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. BENTLEY: She does -- I believe she can

	1	give an expert opinion regarding the particular
1	2	situation here. I think that's that's the general
	3	area I would like to have her classified as an expert
	4	in.
	5	THE COURT: Okay. Okay.
	6	MR. BENTLEY: You are right. School
	7	psychologists are involved in that.
	8	THE COURT: Yeah.
	9	MR. BENTLEY: That's true.
	10	THE COURT: Yeah.
	11	MS. REISMAN: And that's why I don't object.
	12	She's clearly an expert in the area of school
` :	1.3	psychology and school student in that decision,
	14	although you probably have as being an expert in
	15	eligibility. She's an expert in school psychology.
	16	MR. BENTLEY: Well, I move is to have
	17	her be an expert on eligibility determinations for
	Τ,	ner be an expere on errors decemmend for
	18	special education.
	19	THE COURT: All right. I'll accept her as
	20	an expert in that field.
	21	MR. BENTLEY: Can second.
	22	THE COURT: Okay.
	23	BY MR. BENTLEY:
	24	Q Okay. You've never met H.M. before?
	25	A No, sir.

-- the transaction. Okay. What -- what

One hundred dollars an hour.

23

24

25

rate?

Α

		,
ertar.	1.	Q And is your compensation dependent upon the
	2	result of this hearing?
	3	A No.
	4	Q Did I take it you did not do any
	5	evaluations of H.M.?
	6	A No, I did not.
	7	Q Okay. It was strictly a record review?
	8	A Absolutely. Yes.
	9	Q Any anything else you recall being said to
	10	you by Mr. Rafferty regarding your your
	11	A No, I asked questions. He gave me an idea about,
	12	you know, some of the issues, but I think Mr. Rafferty
	13	really said to me, "I want your honest opinion as to
	14	what you know, your honest opinion as to this issue
	15	of eligibility." So I
	16	Q And did you in fact review E.M.'s educational
	17	
	18	A Yes, I did. And I noted that in there was a
	19	signed release from the parents that I had permission
	20	to do that.
	21	Q Okay. Do you recall when you strike that.
	22	What what time period were you looking at in terms
÷	23	of your role of determining whether the
. 4.1	24	A Okay. Well, it's always critical to go back and
	25	look at history, look at background. I really focus my

	1	efforts and my time looking at the current reevaluation
	2	that was done during last school year, the 2007/2008
	3	school year.
	4	Q Okay.
	5	A I did review other information that was in the
	6	file, it's a very thick file, just in order to get
	7	additional background so I understood the case.
	8	Q Okay. Now the I think the evidence so far
	9	is that there was a decision made in May of 2008.
	10	A Right.
	11	Q That's why we're here.
٠,	12	A Yes.
<i>2</i> 1	13	Q Okay.
	14	A Yes.
	15	Q Did you review any type of documents that
	16	were generated or any evaluations that occurred after
	17	May of 2008?
	18	A No, I didn't.
	19	Q So I take it your your evaluation was
	20	simply looking at what the team had in front of you?
	21	Is that is that an accurate statement?
	22	A Yeah. There were others there were other
;	23	there was other documents in there, in the file that
. : [']	24	there had been an evaluation that was not done by the

child study team. I think that the parent had done

MS. REISMAN: Yeah. Actually I can't.

Yeah. Okay. Judge, I'm

can't -- do you have an extra --

MR. BENTLEY:

23

24

(Case 1:	09-cv	-04293	ILH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/1 Williams - Direct	1 Page 24 of 153 PageID: 1328 23
	1		show	g the witness document R-34 for	identification.
	2			THE COURT: Okay.	
	3			MR. BENTLEY: Judge, the d	locument I've
	4		shown	the witness is a three page docu	ment. The last
	5		page	_	
	6		BY M	BENTLEY:	
	7			First of all, Dr. Williams,	do you recognize
	8		R-34		
	9		A	es, I do.	
	10			And what is R-34?	
	11		A	t's the three page report that I	prepared
	12		follo	ing my review of the record.	
	13			Okay. I believe the documen	nt I showed you is
	14		not s	gned on the last page.	
	15		A	ight.	
	16			The last page is not signed.	
	17		A	ight.	
	18			Do you recall signing that d	locument?
	19		A	es, I do.	
	20			MR. BENTLEY: Okay. Judge	e, I have the last
	21		page	hat's signed but I only have one	e I have two
	22		copie	. Judge	

Well the witness --

If it's okay -- substituting

THE COURT:

the last page.

MR. BENTLEY:

23

24

	Case 1:	09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 25 of 153 PageID: 1329 Williams - Direct 24
	1	THE COURT: Okay.
illa Ser	2	MR. BENTLEY: For the witness.
	3	THE COURT: Yes.
	4	MR. BENTLEY: you so you have a complete
	5	
	6	THE COURT: Right. Thank you.
	7	MR. BENTLEY: signed document.
	8	THE COURT: Thank you.
	9	MS. REISMAN: I I have the
	10	BY MR. BENTLEY:
	11	Q And if you could, Dr. Williams, just or
	12	substitute in the last page.
in die	13	A Sure.
	14	Q Is there any other differences in the last
	15	page other than it was signed?
	16	A No. I think when I sent electronically, I faxed
	17	electronically but one was faxed and one was
	18	Q Okay.
	19	A Yeah. No. It looks fine.
	20	Q Again, that's the report that you prepared?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q Okay. Everything in that report is true and
	23	accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief?
. •	24	A Yes, it is.
	25	MR. BENTLEY: I'd like to move R-34 into

1 evidence.

MS. REISMAN: No objection.

THE COURT: R-34 in evidence.

(R-34 received in

Evidence.)

BY MR. BENTLEY:

Q Okay. Okay. Dr. Williams, I'm -- I'm not going to ask you to read the report, it's in evidence and the Judge can look at it at his leisure. The first part of the report -- oh, I do want to highlight some -- some -- some of the -- some of your findings. The first paragraph on page one you talk about the initial 2005 child study team evaluation. Do you recall anything that struck you as -- as relevant in terms of reviewing those records?

A In terms of the 2005 you're discussing now?

O Yes.

A Yeah. Yeah. I reviewed that they were -- I think the psychological had been completed by -- there was a psychological that had been done by Cooper Learning Center, I believe, and then there were other -- other evaluations that had been completed by the Haddon Heights child study team and the decision had been to -- the ultimate decision was that there was a discrepancy between the youngster's verbal

1	comprehension index and current achievement in reading
2	and math computation.
3	Q Okay. Do you in that particular
4	paragraph, and in particular the fourth line down, you
5	refer to H.M.'s initial individual education program
6	A Right.
7	Q also dated May 31, 2008.
8	A That may be an error.
9	Q Is that accurate?
10	A No. I believe that probably should say 2005.
11	Q Okay.
12	A It would have been done the same day as her
13	eligibility. It was just a typo. Yeah.
14	Q Okay. Anything of okay. Strike that.
1.5	Now the rest of your document review focused on the
16	assessments that were done in 2008, is that correct?
17	A Yes.
18	Q Tell us what you recall about your findings.
19	Any highlights or low lights or anything
20	A Okay.
21	Q that you felt was significant?
22	A Okay. I did use I did review the evaluations.
23	The teacher completed assessments that were in the file
24	which included DIBELS, the DIBELS assessment that was
25	done by a teacher and a developmental reading

	Williams - Direct 27
1	assessment also done by a teacher and the evaluation
2	that was done at St. Joe's University graduate reading
3	specialist program, the critical reading inventory
4	which noted that again, I think all of these were
5	done these assessments were done in order to get a
б	better idea about an instructional level for H.M. and
7	in terms of reading assessment.
8	And these are frequently used measures which are
9	the former are really progress monitoring measures.
10	Q Okay. Let's let's let's stop right
11	there.
12	A All right.
13	Q We have had a lot of testimony here that you
14	had not seen or heard
15	A Right.
16	Q regarding DIBELS. Are you familiar with
17	
18	A Yes.
19	Q with the DIBELS?
20	A I am. Yes.
21	Q Okay. What is what is first, what does
22	DIBELS stand for?
23	A Dynamic Indicators of Basic Early Literacy Skills.

Okay. And I think you said a little bit about what -- what that type of assessment is all

1 about.

A Okay.

Q Tell us what your understanding of what DIBELS is all about.

A Actually, DIBELS is -- I -- the way I describe it, it's a downward extension of what we think of as DIBS which are dynamic indicators of basic skills and which was the original type of assessment which is much like taking any kind of intermittent progress monitoring, like you would have your blood pressure monitored, you would have as a child height and weight monitored.

We're taking repeated measures of -- of a child's growth in the skill -- reading, spelling, math, whatever it might be.

And then the DIBELS is really looking at the early literacy skills initially from kindergarten to -- kindergarten, first, second and third grade, and are the building blocks and the -- and the skills -- developing a rate of progress because we typically do at least four -- four assessments per year so that we can grasp these results and indicate whether the child is moving in the appropriate direction.

Q How -- how -- what's the relevance -- how -- how weighty -- how -- how pertinent would a DIBELS assessment be for a fifth grader in -- in isolation?

1 A Okay.

Q Meaning one --

A Well, I think the way it was used at -- and is being used now with older students who were just -- as a fifth grader. So that -- it's purpose is really screening. It's purpose is progress monitoring to really assess is -- are the youngster's reading skills, in this case, continuing to progress as they should? If we can look at that line of trajectory we use these basic indicators which would be oral reading fluency, spelling skills, math skills, and if we see that they're not then it really informs instruction so that if the -- if the progress is not being made then we know we have a consistent line to say that we're going -- we're plateauing or the child's skills are declining then we know we have to adjust the intervention.

Q Okay. How pertinent would the DIBELS test be in terms of determining eligibility for special education?

A It's not typically used as -- to determine eligibility. It's information which can be considered as anything would be, and if I were doing the psychological evaluation, any child study team evaluations they would -- that kind of information would be reviewed in order -- as part of the background

		WIIIIams - Direct 50
	1	information. But again, it's basic function is that of
	2	progress monitoring, not determination of eligibility.
	3	Q Okay. Now you and you recall reviewing
	4	the DIBELS assessment for H.M. in this case?
	5	A Yes, the actual assessment.
	6	Q Okay. And the what about the DRA? We've
	7	hard, again, a lot of testimony
	8	A Right.
	9	Q in this and about the DRA?
	10	A The developmental reading assessment?
	11	Q Yeah.
	12	A Yeah.
i ku	1.3	Q Tell us about that.
	14	A Well, it's also a teacher administered assessment
	15	and in in some
	16	Q Can I interrupt you? I just remembered one
	17	thing before I forget.
	18	A Yeah.
	19	Q The DIBELS assessment, is that a norm
	20	testing?
	21	A Normed itself? There are benchmarks for each
	22	there are indicators that what you expect at each
	23	level, at each grade level.
J.	24	Q Is this is this a standardized test?
	25	A It's standardized in its procedures meaning that

you have to follow the standardized method of -- of administering it, but the youngsters actually then just get -- get -- were getting data which would be, again, to be plotted. Not to compare them against other -- other children that are taking the -- the test at the same time, but to compare that to the expectation for that grade level which would be more a criterion referenced test.

Q Okay. I -- I interrupted you. Back to the DRA.

A Right. A DRA is also a teacher-administered test which is basically done for the purpose of assessing where the youngster is and if we talk about reading, where the youngster is in the area of reading. It's individually administered and it is typically done by somebody who is going to be planning the instruction so that they have a sense of where the -- the child is.

Q Is that what you mean by a curriculum-related assessment?

A Well DIBELS -- DIBELS -- yeah. DIBELS is certainly a curriculum-based assessment and meaning that it's actually taken from the -- the child's curriculum. If we're talking about a child at a fifth grade level then we would be using fifth grade material that would not come directly from their books or their

1 textbooks, their materials --

Q Right.

A -- but would be similar to. So that it's related to the curriculum that the child is being taught in.

It is not something completely difference from what they have not seen. The DRA is again similar and at times if the DRA's are being used then DIBELS is not.

I mean, it's sometimes one or the other, at times both, but it's, again, it's administered by the teacher in order to be able to direct and -- and plan instruction for that child.

Q Is the DRA similar to -- the question about the DIBELS. Is a DRA something that is -- that is pertinent to a determination of eligibility in special education?

A No. It's again more for progress monitoring and determining at what level the youngster -- for example, there are benchmark assessments that you would be doing with -- if you sat down for the first time with a child and used a DRA, first time in that school year, and then from that the results would -- would direct where the teacher would begin to instruction. And you typically in any classroom there are a variety of levels of -- of reading material such that one child may begin in one level and one book, another child

might begin in another. So it helps differentiate the instruction.

Q All right. Is -- is it completely worthless in determining eligibility criteria?

A I -- I consider background material, background information. You want to know is the child progressing, is this something we feel is -- you know, we would take that into account but it is not data that is directly used to make that -- that final determination of eligibility, but certainly relevant data in terms of background. If we -- a child who would be declining, declining, declining in their types of -- in the -- in the DRA or in DIBELS then we would say something different has to be done.

Q Okay.

A This is not sufficient.

Q All right. Do you recall anything that was a concern, any red flags regarding the DIBEL assessments and the DRA assessments in your review of H.M.?

A No, I did not. I thought it was pretty typical of what a teacher might do.

Q In your -- in your background, in your experience as an educator, the number of years, what's the most important thing about reading? Is it reading out loud or is it something different?

A There are a lot of important things about reading. You know, the National Reading Panel has -- has determined that they are -- we have these important things that kids need to do to be good readers and one is to be able to read fluently. And the way that we typically assess reading fluency, because we can hear it, is we ask children to read out loud.

Q Okay.

A Yeah. And in a typical curriculum-based assessment we would ask the child to read three one minute passages and then we would throw out the -- the top, throw out the bottom and we would use what would be the mid point or what would be the -- considering that may be the typical because we know that sometimes depending on the passage and depending on the vocabulary and some of the things in that reading passage it might be more difficult for the child.

So we -- so we strive to get what would be consistent or mid point in terms of their skill and then we -- and since we're doing this over time, repeatedly over time that we then have a consistent estimate of how a child is -- is doing.

Q Did you reach any conclusions or make any determinations as to H.M.'s abilities regarding regarding out loud? Do you recall --

1	A Looking at the documents, it seemed that she was
2	doing acceptably for her age. Of course, I didn't hear
3	her, I didn't see her. I think we had an actual DIBELS
4	protocol or information that came, written work that
5	came right from the DIBELS and and the information
б	that was reported in actually her rate of reading or
7	her it is usually done in words read per minute and
8	then with any correction for errors or words or
9	words accurately.
10	Q Okay.
11	A Yeah. But she seemed to be on on target.
12	Q What about what about comprehension?
13	A Well I
14	Q Reading
15	A Right. The DRA really does a better job looking
16	at comprehension.
17	Q Forget about
18	A Okay.
19	Q here. Just in general.
20	A Oh, sure.
21	Q About
22	A In reading? Okay.
23	Q Yeah.
24	A Of course, it's I mean, we do have youngsters
25	who can read fluently, they sound great, but they can't

	1	they're not understanding what they read. So we
	2	have to assess their understanding of the meaning of
	3	what they read so that we have to ask them questions.
	4	We do it one of two ways: we ask them questions about
	5	what they've read or we ask them to retell what they've
	6	read. So if they can 1) answer questions correctly; or
	7	2) be able to tell us with some reasonable bit of
	8	accuracy and detail what they have read then we have
	9	we have a sense that they have comprehended what
	10	they've read, they have not just read words without
	11	meaning.
	12	Q Okay. You've heard the terms terms
72	13	*learning to read" and "reading to learn?"
12		
Ŧ	13	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?"
12	13	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students.
72	13 14 15	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes.
*:	13 14 15 16	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes. Q How would using those terms how would you
	13 14 15 16 17	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes. Q How would using those terms how would you describe H.M
	13 14 15 16 17	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes. Q How would using those terms how would you describe H.M A All right.
	13 14 15 16 17 18	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes. Q How would using those terms how would you describe H.M A All right. Q based upon your your
	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	"learning to read" and "reading to learn?" A Yes. I've used those terms with my students. Yes. Q How would using those terms how would you describe H.M A All right. Q based upon your your A Okay. Well, typically in general we would say

A And then beginning fourth through -- from them on

Okay.

is that we use those skills in order to learn more difficult material like science and social studies. So we use those reading skills in order to be able to -- to master the content.

Q Okay.

A The -- the focus is then not on specific isolated skills but more on more complex comprehension -- situation.

Q Where would H.M. fall in?

A Well, it looked to me like she was -- she had -- she was in the reading to learn. As a fifth grader that's where I would expect her to be.

Q Do you know what her instructional reading level was in fifth grade?

A Well, from what I could see in the latest thing —
the latest information came from that critical reading
inventory that was done through St. Joe's reading
specialist program is that they said she was — "She
would benefit from instruction at the fifth grade level
with emphasis on oral and written task replaced —
related to her response to text as — to development of
greater language abilities." That last part was a
quote.

Q In terms of what?

A The last -- in terms of the report that was

1	written from the critical reading inventory. So from
2	that information it was indicated, which was done in
3	May of 2008, that she was instructional at a fifth
4	grade level.
5	Q Okay. What about the information that was

Q Okay. What about the information that was available to the team when -- when it made its decision

A Okay.

Q -- in May of -- about H.M.'s instructional reading level?

A Okay. Well, and I guess that kind of moves into the second part of the --

Q Yeah. Good segue.

A Right. As part of the reevaluation there was a comprehensive, there — there were three evaluations completed one of which was the learning evaluation, learning assessment which looked at — an in-depth look at her reading skills. And I think if I'm not mistaken because of the concerns and the questions that parents and teachers had about her skills, about her reading skills, that the learning assessment was tailored to address the questions that were done.

There's a variety of standardized tests and normreferenced tests that could be used to assess a
youngster's reading and these -- the learning

assessment was -- you know, the tools were chosen to help address some of the questions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Let's focus on the learning assessment -A Okay.

Q -- based upon your review of -- what was your opinion as to the -- the choices of the assessment given the -- the suspected disabilities? Okay. Again, my first comment was I thought it Α was very comprehensive and that I was glad to see that not only were standardized tests reported which was the Woodcock-Johnson test of achievement, but there was also there were some other instruments used. Plus there was a very good functional assessment done, meaning that, you know, we're looking at how kids -- a point in time when they're being assessed. So you -you go through a -- a testing session and the results are -- the -- the best assessment tools we have during a learning assessment.

And -- and all assessment, you know, is not perfect but then -- but also -- did a very good, nice job of looking at how she was functioning in the classroom, what her grades were on her report card, what her -- how she had done on the State -- the New Jersey "ASK."

Q Okay.

1	A And the various assessments. So it was
2	comprehensive in my to my thinking that they had not
3	just relied upon the assessment results which were done
4	in April on April 1 st and April 8 th , but a
5	comprehensive look at her entire functional skills.
6	Q So were you satisfied with the
7	A I was.
8	Q with the choices of assessment tools?
9	A Yeah. My first honestly, my first thought was
10	why the Gray Oral Reading Test but it was selected
11	because that was a concern that was expressed with her
12	reading fluency. And so that again, you have a choice
13	of what instruments do you use? We typically don't
14	want to test children for hours and hours and hours, we
15	select what we thinking will get the the most
16	that will be the give us the most knowledge, the
17	best results in terms of what we addressing the
18	issue.
19	Q Okay. How about the again, based upon
20	records review, you didn't talk to any of the child
21	study team?
22	A No, I did not.
23	Q Correct? Based upon your review of the
24	records

Α

25

Right.

	1	Q was there any kind of concerns in your
7)	2	mind as to the manner in which the tests were
	3	administered?
	4	A No. I did not see anything that would not be
	5	that I would consider irregular. I thought they were
	6	they were fine. They were done well.
	7	Q Okay. The on the learning assessment as
	8	well, was there anything found of a concern in terms of
	9	how the test results were interpreted?
	10	A No.
	11	Q Okay. Same questions regarding the
::.	12	psychological evaluation. Any concerns about the tests
' : -	13	that were chosen to be administered and the the
	14	manner in which they were administered?
	15	A No. None.
	16	Q Okay. What's the given all the assess-
	17	ments and the the material that the team had based
	18	upon your review, the team reached a conclusion
	19	regarding that H.M.'s not eligible. Do you agree or
	20	disagree with that decision?
	21	A From the information that I saw I would agree.
	22	Q Okay. Why why do you agree with that?
•	23	Why do you believe that it was a proper determination?
J	24	A Well, I thought that they did a very comprehensive
	25	assessment and that they had not just, and I'm

restating this, that they had not just included the information that came from that assessment session, that they really had taken a look at how this youngster was functioning in the classroom, what her teachers had to say. There were teacher interviews, both special ed and general education teachers. They looked at those indicators as in the -- you know, the New Jersey ASK.

They looked at which would be the measure of the

core curriculum content standards and she had met all of those. She was doing well with that. She was earning A's and B's on her -- on her report card and thought that, you know, the question in eligibility as -- as I see it is that not is this child perfect at this point, not are all skills -- but is this an educational disability?

Q Okay. Tell us about that.

A Well, you can have kids who have issues that are

-- or concerns or strengths and weaknesses, and I

would submit that all children, all people have

strengths and weaknesses. If all of us were assessed

at this point, there are things that we do better than

others. And any time that you do an assessment of a

youngster, we recognize that our decision is, does this

child require special education in order to progress

appropriately and as we would expect them to do in

their grade level?

So that while there can be issues that are still existing as in specific skills that the child has, the question becomes is special education required or is there an educational disability? And I think that's one of the most weighty decisions that we make because as a -- someone like myself and I'm sure other -- other people that do the same thing I do is we want the best for every child. We want the -- we want the child to have all the supports they need in order to be successful.

And in my experience when we see a child who has been successful and who is progressing and all of our indicators say that they are progress, that it's an opportunity to say, "This is terrific, this is wonderful, let's celebrate this success," and also say to the child that "You've worked very hard," and there's all indications that from what I've read that H.M. is a hard worker, she's very motivated, she tries hard and that she indeed has been able to -- to progress nicely in a great, appropriate way.

So are there other things that could be -- that she could benefit from? Perhaps, and I know that, you know, Haddon Heights has other services. Is there an educational disability? And my conclusion was in

	1	lookin	g at what the team had provided, what the
	2	backgr	ound information was, is that it was not to the
	3	degree	that required educational disability that
	4	would	require special education.
	5	Q	Now you mentioned a St. Joe's assessment. I
	6	believ	e you testified that that was performed after the
	7	team's	decision
	8	A I	would have
	9	Q	had been reached?
	10	A Y	eah. That was done May 2^{nd} and the team
	11	recomm	ended the date of well their evaluations
	12	were d	one in March and April.
1	13	Q	Let me ask you this. Do you know whether the
	14	team h	ad the St. Joe's evaluation in its hands when it
	15	made i	ts decision to declassify H.M.?
	1.6	AI	do not. I have no certainty that they did.
	17	Q	Okay. Given that response, do you is
	18	there	anything you can recall in the St. Joe's
	19	evalua	tion that made you take a second look or would
	20	cause	you to second guess the team's decision?
	21	A N	o. What I saw, and as far as having written many
	22	report	s myself is that, you know, you you include
	23	all of	the information, all of the child's behaviors,
	24	all of	the test results, and what I saw is the bottom
	25	line w	as that she would benefit from instruction at

fifth grade level which -- which she was -- which she was doing at this point.

Her I.E.P. was -- she was included in a general education fifth grade setting. She was getting pullout support from the special ed teacher, which support is not primary instruction. Support is just some additional help. But not -- her -- primary instruction was coming -- was being delivered in fifth grade.

Q Was that relevant in terms of the -- the -- the scope or degree of services that were -- that was in H.M.'s IEP, fifth grade. Was that a determination in terms of eligibility? Was that a factor, how much support she's getting?

A Oh, I think something has to be considered, it's just that, you know, you would not take a youngster whose entire program is being provided in special education and suddenly withdraw that -- that instruction. But the fact that our goal is to educate youngsters within the least-restrictive environment so that we would like them to be in -- in general education for as much of the time as they can be successful. And H.M. was being successful with -- with a half hour a day, I think, of support.

Q Okay. You made some recommendations that -- in your report. I think -- is a bad word. You talked

about -- your last paragraph.

A Okay.

Q You talked about her specific areas of strengths and weaknesses. Do you recall what those strengths and weaknesses are? You may -- H.M. is not a perfect student.

A I haven't met a perfect student yet.

Q Right.

A No. I thought certainly her strengths, she has -she's achieving, she's doing what's expected of her as
a fifth grader, you know, just -- although I didn't

meet H.M., the fact that's motivated, that's she's a

she's a -- she works very hard, you know, she gets

credit for that. Does she have some areas of -- that
she could benefit from? I never like to see a child

removed from services when there's not something put in
as a fail safe or as a -- you know, we think of it as,
you know, what's going to take the place of what she's

-- of what she is currently receiving because in this
case we don't want to withdraw some help that -- that
she may need.

There are other services available in general education. You know, there's reading -- reading specialists, people who have special training that area. Classroom teachers are -- are having to take on

		_
	1	increased amount of differentiation in their
À	2	instruction because we know that kids are certainly
	3	what is average is a huge range. You know, if we look
	4	at percentile scores between the $25^{ m th}$ and the $75^{ m th}$
	5	percentile is is average. So all children are not
	6	reading and doing things at the same level at the same
	7	time.
	8	Q In a in a typical fifth grade class, there
	9	is
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q various levels of readers?
	12	A Yes. Absolutely. It's a huge task for teachers
	13	but they we've you know, I think we're developing
	14	the kinds of instructional materials, the kinds of
	15	training for teachers that will allow them to do that.
	16	Q Do you know if H.M. received any type of
	17	accommodations on her New Jersey ASK report that they
	18	and what level?
	19	A Honestly, I don't recall that there was anything
	20	that was that I saw that that would have indicated
	21	that she was given any kind of accommodations. There
	22	could have been. I may have missed it.
	23	Q Okay.
	24	A Oh, I was going to say I think she had been

consistently through fourth and fifth grade. I saw a

		WIIIIans - Direct 48
	1	consistent pattern that indicated, you know, she is
	2	she was able to get a handle on and meet those
	3	benchmarks.
	4	Q Would it affect your opinion one way or
	5	another if the evidence suggested that H.M. received
	6	very little support, very little accommodations from
	7	the New Jersey ASK?
	8	A Well, there are youngsters who who even through
	9	a 504 plan can be given some accommodations, so not
	10	really. No. Not
	11	Q Okay. All right.
	12	MR. BENTLEY: I'm I'm I think I'm
Ŷı	13	pretty close to finishing, Judge. I just do you
	14	want me to just
		want me to just
	15	THE COURT: Right. Off the record or
	16	MR. BENTLEY: No. We can
	17	THE COURT: Okay.
	18	MR. BENTLEY: that on.
	19	THE COURT: Sure.
	20	BY MR. BENTLEY:
	21	Q Did you receive any directions or
	22	instructions from Mr. Rafferty or anyone else from
	23	Haddon Heights regarding what your final determination
	24	should be?

My recollection was I was just asked to render an

opinion, whatever that be. It didn't -- an honest
opinion of what I -- I was -- I thought.

Q The -- are you familiar with the term "severe discrepancy," I assume?

A Yes.

O What is that?

A Well, it's -- it's the current system that we're using and continue to use in New Jersey, although there is -- there is movement throughout the country that there would be another way of looking at things that -- a learning disability is determined by a severe discrepancy between a youngster's academic abilities and their potential for learning which would -- we think of as cognitive or intellectual abilities.

Q And did you -- do you recall, based upon your record review whether or not there was a finding of any severe discrepancy with H.M.?

A I looked at this as -- in terms of a severe discrepancy is that the other caveat that I didn't mention is that there also needs to be an educational deficit. There needs to be -- you could have a severe discrepancy, you can have 135 I.Q. and be -- depending on how you -- how you define "severe," every district has a different method of -- of -- or a different -- is it one standard deviation? Is it one and a half? Is

1 it two standard deviations?

Q Right.

A So if I had a — if a youngster had a 135 I.Q. but yet was achieving at 110, say, achievement, there might be a difference, however, there's no educational disability because everything is within the average range. Okay. So I looked at it from those lense and looking at it is that she continues to demonstrate on all indicators at least average ability achievement in relationship to, you know, her expectation. I believe in the last evaluation, psychological evaluation, that her intellectual ability was within the high average range.

- Q And her ability was -- strike that. Back up.

 In terms of looking at her intellectual ability, what
 scores are you looking at, if that's the right term?

 A Right. I'm looking at the Wexler Intelligence

 Scale for Children that was -- the fourth edition that
 was administered March 31, 2008 by Mena Maddie, of
 which her full scale I.Q. was 114.
- Q And that you say was in the high average range?
- A That's the high average range. Yes.
 - Q Anything -- compare that with what?
- A With the information that was in the learning

assessment which would indicate that again we have many different scores. You have to sort through this. That she's also within the average range with -- for her basic reading skills and -- and broad reading, math, broad math, broad written language all were within the average range.

Q And how does the functional assessment that we talked about, the classroom teachers, the standardized testing, the New Jersey ASK, how does that

A I thought that was --

Q -- into the equation?

A -- even stronger supporting information because I don't believe we can just look at the discreet numbers for a significant discrepancy. I mean, that -- that -- I think that's one test we can go through but then we look at how is she functioning. And that was even -- my way of thinking, you know, she's earning A's and B's in her major subjects in her report card, she's passing all indicators that are set by the State of New Jersey, and again she's -- you know, she's -- she's moving along very nicely.

Q Do you recall reviewing the Lindamood Bell assessment?

A I did see that. Yes. That was done, but I don't

recall when that was completed, the day in which it was completed.

Q Do you recall any type of -- of information from the Lindamood Bell assessment that would be contrary to what the team's findings were?

A I -- I would have to know who -- who -- who administered the Lindamood Bell. What -- what was -- who was the -- was there a report? In order to recall that --

Q You -- you don't recall right now what that

A No, I don't.

Q Do you recall that -- any kind of red flags being raised regarding the Lindamood Bell report?

A I -- not to my knowledge. No. And I guess I have to preface that by saying that any time we evaluate a child we get many over time, we get a lot of indicators of where that child might be academically or whatever area we're looking at and that while -- I think we have -- we have to use multiple measures in order to, you know, we have to look at the big picture and try to say given all the data we have, what's the consistent pattern here?

We can all have bad days, we can all have good days where we are over achieving or we just did

are.

exceptionally well, but looking at the big picture at the multiple measures. But I don't recall -- I can't -- I can't recall anything that would be specifically concerning from the Lindamood Bell.

Q And you're looking at that big picture, that global --

A Well I'm looking at multiple measures of indicators and as I -- as I tell students we never make decisions based on one piece of data. You know, we have substantiate that across time and look at, you know, you develop hypothesis. Especially initial evaluations, you develop a hypothesis and then -- but then you look to support that so that you're not making a decision about a child based on one piece of information, one assessment, you're looking for patterns, and is that pattern -- what -- what is the -- what does that tell you about what the child's needs

Q And again, based upon your review of the team looking at all that information and its determination of eligibility, do you concur with that decision?

A I do. I thought that the -- the ultimate, you know, the evaluation, the re-eval was done in March -- in April of 2008 was very comprehensive.

Q And -- and the results?

So your area of expertise is in school

School psychologists also can assess in the

psychology which -- rely on the assessments done by

others to determine eligibility?

22

23

24

25

A

No.

1	area of reading and are trained to do that. I've been
2	trained on a national model so it's only the State of
3	New Jersey where school psychologists really don't do
4	direct assessment of reading. In any other state they
5	would. So my responsibility and also being training
6	students who are graduate students, is to train them
7	in the full scope of assessment. But no, I'm not a
8	reading specialist but I know a lot about reading.
9	Q You're not you're not certified in to
10	do reading assessments in New Jersey?
11	A Not specifically.
12	Q And in terms of this particular case you
13	didn't do any assessments?
13 14	didn't do any assessments? A I did not.
14	A I did not.
14 15	A I did not. Q Did you?
14 15 16	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not.
14 15 16 17	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not. Q So you relied upon the data that were given
14 15 16 17 18	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not. Q So you relied upon the data that were given to you?
14 15 16 17 18	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not. Q So you relied upon the data that were given to you? A Yes, I did. Yes, I did.
14 15 16 17 18 19	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not. Q So you relied upon the data that were given to you? A Yes, I did. Yes, I did. Q And your completion only be state?
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	A I did not. Q Did you? A No, I did not. Q So you relied upon the data that were given to you? A Yes, I did. Yes, I did. Q And your completion only be state? A Correct.

And in fact the New Jersey Administrative

	1	Code that
	2	A (Shuffling papers.)
	3	Q defined a specific learning disability
	4	when there's a discrepancy between ability and
	5	achievement, specifically in reading fluency, is that
	6	correct?
	7	A That has been added. Yes.
	8	Q Yes? That has been added?
	9	A Hmm-hmm.
	10	Q So and that was added recently after 2004?
	11	A Hmm-hmm.
	12	Q Yes?
•	13	A Yes.
	14	Q Sorry. (Laughing.) And while it's true that
	15	the ability to read orally in front of a class is is
	16	not the ultimate goal in assessing fluency, we do need
	17	to assess fluency for readers, correct?
	18	A Yes.
	19	Q And would you agree that fluency is the
	20	accurate, rapid, expressive oral reading that's applied
	21	during and makes possible silent reading comprehension?
	22	A That would be one definition. Sure. Yes.
f	23	Q Do do you have a different definition you
	24	would use?
	25	A No. It's been defined, I think, a variety of

		WILITAMS - CLOSS 57
	7	ways. The accurate piece is very important because,
	2	again, we can have people who can read rapidly but not
	3	accurately. So it's a combination of speed and
	4	accuracy.
	5	Q And the reason that speed and accuracy is
	6	important is that you need automaticity as you go to
	7	higher levels in comprehension, correction?
	8	A Yes.
	9	Q And that
	10	THE COURT: What is that word?
	11	Automaticity?
	12	MS. REISMAN: Automaticity.
1	13	MR. BENTLEY: Is that a word?
	14	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	15	MS. REISMAN: It is a word.
	16	THE WITNESS: It is a word. It is.
	17	MS. REISMAN: (Laughing.)
	18	THE WITNESS: The automatic performing
	19	automatically. You can say the alphabet automatically.
	20	You have it at the automatic level.
	21	BY MS. REISMAN:
	22	Q And and the reason that's important is
	23	that although we're able to do things we alternate our
	24	attention between one activity and another, as in
	25	reading we can alternate between trying to decode and

Williams - Cross 1 trying to comprehend. That's correct, right? 2 Α Hmm-hmm. 3 THE COURT: That's -- you have to answer 4 "yes" or no." 5 THE WITNESS: Yes. Oh, sorry. 6 MS. REISMAN: (Laughing.) 7 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MS. REISMAN: 8 9 But as you get to higher level text you need 10 the decoding to be more automatic in order to 11 comprehend, correct? 12 A If you're unfamiliar with the word. If you are --13 many times what happens with good readers is that --14 you know, and we say this is just the, you know, the 15 process of learning to read is that, you know, you use 16 your decoding skills when you come to an unfamiliar 17 word. Many times the automaticity is just you know it 18 by sight because you've read it many, many times 19 before. 20 And then students move into fourth and sixth 21 grade, the middle school grades, isn't it true they --22 they see a large number of new words every year? 23 Yes, they do. The estimate is -- is huge and 24 typically that's why any kind of lesson that is taught

in science or social studies it usually begins with

vocabulary because this would be something that would
not have been introduced in a typical reading
instruction. So we begin with new words so that when
you meet them in the course of reading you are able to
be familiar with them.

Q Okay. Is it like on the order of 10,000 new words, would you say?

A Yeah. I've seen certainly even -- if I had my Powerpoints with me I could tell you. It's a lot of new words that kids have to incorporate.

Q Okay. And isn't -- isn't it the automaticity of decoding a critical component of fluency?

A It helps you read more quickly. But again, as kids -- as children become more proficient readers it's less the deliberate use of skills it's more that the -- you know, that the familiarity. That's why when children are read to, when children practice reading that -- that the reading skills typically, that's how we improve readings kills by practice and exposure.

Q All right. And you're expected by fifth grade to have a certain level of fluency, correct?

A There would -- it would be make reading easier.

It would -- and I think that if you're still relying upon your decoding skills to do that it does slow you down.

Q If you're -- if the way you're reading when you're in fifth grade and sixth grade, the -- those level -- and the way that you're reading is still using those early strategies of going back and trying to figure out the context or doing actual decoding, that is -- that's -- that will slow you down?

MR. BENTLEY: Judge, I'm going to object.

I'm not -- I'm not seeing the relevancy of discussions regarding speculative natures of what's happening in sixth grade or even in fifth grade. I mean, this is all very nice academically, I'm not sure how it's going to help you in your approach of whether the decision made in May of 2008 was a proper decision. You know, how many words are going to be in sixth grade? How many new words is there going to be, the -- skills and what they have in sixth grade. I'm not sure where we're going with this, Judge.

MS. REISMAN: In May of 2008 the team determined that H.M. did not have a discrepancy in the area of fluency and I think that the -- the need to be able to be a fluent reader to achieve what's necessary to achieve functionally in school is absolutely relevant.

MR. BENTLEY: Judge, the team determined that H.M. is not eligible for special education and

ş ş

	WILLIAMS - Cross 61
dan-	related services. The determination of whether there
2	was a severe discrepancy is one part of that of that
3	equation. So I I disagree with with an
4	objection.
5	THE COURT: All right. (Clearing throat.)
6	I excuse me. I think Counsel is inquiring into an
7	area which may which may be theoretical in nature in
8	in order to make a point and I'll permit her to
9	continue, again, to a point. (Laughing.) Objection's
10	overruled.
11	MR. BENTLEY: Thank you.
12	MS. REISMAN: Excuse me one second. I just
13	need to
14	BY MS. REISMAN:
15	Q Would you agree that progress in reading
16	beyond stages is dependent upon reading words
17	fluently and is dependent on familiarity with the words
18	in their oral form?
19	A Familiarity with words in their oral form. I
20	it's not typical language that we would use. Dependent
21	upon the rate and accuracy of your ability to decode
22	words.
23	Q And how do you measure someone's rate of
24	accuracy of the ability to decode words?

It's a variety of ways, but typically if you're

doing it on a -- as we talked about earlier if you're doing it as a progress monitoring, in other words, if you're taking those periodic, those DIBELS, dynamic indicator of basic skills without using that particular format, but we're going to ask them to read orally for one minute and then we're going to indicate, we're going to note, as a trained person, you note whether they have been able to read accurately, they pronounced the words correctly and you note how many words per minute they've read within that minute. And then, you know, we throw out the top, throw out the bottom and look at the middle. We typically do that, have three indicators.

Q And so in order to determine rate and accuracy --

A (Coughing.) Excuse me.

Q -- to determine fluency you have to do that orally, correct?

A Yes. Yes. And in many of the instruments that were used during the learning evaluation that -- that would be the way it would have been done. There's also silent reading but we can't -- we don't have a way of listening to that. We can only ask questions about what the youngster has read in order to determine if they've read.

09-cv-04293-l	Case 1:
Ç	1.
whethe	2
compre	3
A F	4
have t	5
order	6
readir	7
Ç	8
how ma	9
compre	10
A V	11
whenev	12
clo	13
just l	14
that d	15
far th	16
accomp	17
throug	18
Ç	19
in thi	20
A]	21
you'r∈	22
inform	23

25

Q Right. And you can ask questions about whether or not a youngster has read to determine their comprehension, correct?

Right, and with the assumption is that they would have to have been able to read -- read fluently in order to comprehend. But silent -- but with silent reading we don't have that evidence.

Q Right. You can't tell through silent reading how many steps the learner is going through to comprehend?

A Well, if it's timed then we can because what we -whenever a youngster has to -- has to use another step
-- close them down. We can't say that's an error, we
just know that at the end of a -- a -- a timed interval
that depending on how much they've accomplished, how
far they've gotten, that a slower reader is going to
accomplish less. A more fluent reader is going to get
through more.

Q Okay. The -- the assessments that were used in this case were untimed assessments, were they not?

A It depends on which -- what you're using. If you're using -- if you're looking at some of the informal measures they were probably timed. If you're looking at the -- the information in the learning evaluation they would have been untimed.

	1	Q So the results in
\	2	A For the eligibility.
	3	Q in Ms. Woodland's report
	4	A Correct.
	5	Q those were based on tests that were not
	6	timed?
	7	A To my recollection. Yes.
	8	Q So the the the learner would have time
	9	to do whatever was necessary to extract meaning from
	10	the text, correct?
	11	A As far yes. As far as I recall.
	12	Q And that wouldn't give you that wouldn't
1	13	give you information about how fluent she was if it was
	14	an untimed test?
•	15	A No. If you're looking at ready fluency then
	16	you're going to have to measure it the same way that we
	17	talked about before with rate and accuracy.
	18	Q And you can only do that orally?
	19	A That's the most effective way of doing it.
	20	Q Or or you could do it are you what's
	21	the other way you can do it, if not orally?
	22	A Well, the the only the only I would say
	23	that probably orally would be the most effective way of
	24	doing it otherwise you would have a lot of information.
	25	You have to make some some judament based on if you

1	can't hear the child read. Yet we understand that not
2	all kids are are good oral readers. They they
3	get nervous. Other things interfere when they're asked
4	to perform kind of on on cue.
5	Q In rendering your report I believe you said
6	that you testified that one of the was was the
7	functional information in the evaluations that you were
8	given by the District, is that correct?
9	A Yes.
10	Q And that includes the both the psycho-
11	logical assessment and the learning assessment?
12	A Actually, the learning assessment was probably, I
13	think, had more relevant information in terms of
	achievement.
14	achievement.
14 15	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in
15	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in
15 16	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the
15 16 17	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the fourth grade level?
15 16 17 18	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the fourth grade level? A I'm not sure which assessment you were referring
15 16 17 18 19	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the fourth grade level? A I'm not sure which assessment you were referring to.
15 16 17 18 19 20	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the fourth grade level? A I'm not sure which assessment you were referring to. Q That's R-32.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Q Okay. Did you rely upon the information in the assessments that H.M. was independently at the fourth grade level? A I'm not sure which assessment you were referring to. Q That's R-32. MR. BENTLEY: The psychological?

MR. BENTLEY: I'm sorry. What was the

1	question?
---	-----------

2 BY MS. REISMAN:

Q The question was did she rely upon the information -- independent -- grade levels.

A Well, as I recall reading this, and I see here that this was part of the background information so that would be the information which came from -- someone reported to -- it was not directly assessed by Ms. Maddie. H.M.'s classroom teacher and resource teacher note that H.M. is a motivated, social young woman. She participate independently and completes --

work. Maybe --

Q I'm asking -- I'm looking at -- if -- if that weighed at all in terms of your determination -- reading level -- grade?

A Honestly, that did not weigh as much as the information that was reported in the St. Joe's report because that was a reading assessment that was directly done by, you know, a reading specialist and the other was information more -- anecdotal information coming from the teacher.

Q Okay. You -- and that's the critical reading -- report?

A Yes.

Q Have you ever administered the critical

1 reading --

A No, I have not. It's not a widely administered -it's one I believe that the woman who -- who -- who
evaluated H.M., it was her mentor, her professor who
developed it. So it may be specifically used in that
area but I'm not -- I have never administered it. No.

THE COURT: You've never (clearing throat)

-- never what?

THE WITNESS: I've never administered it.

THE COURT: Thank you.

BY MS. REESEMAN:

Q Have you -- have you read a number of CRI,
Critical Reading Inventory reports?

A No, I have not.

Q So you relied upon the information there?

A Well, again, I would say that this was part of the pattern that I saw that she was -- when she was functioning in a fifth grade classroom and doing well, A's and B's on her report card, seemed to be responding well to the intervention and the instruction that her teachers were giving her. So I was just -- again, it was more consistent with what the full picture of H.M.

Q Given your lack of experience with the CRI would you feel comfortable basing conclusions about H.M.'s reading ability on the results of that

1 instrument?

A I have no reason to doubt the credentials of the person who administered it. I have respect for colleagues who are, you know, trained and -- and in their field of expertise. But again, it was consistent information. If -- to be as -- to be as successful as she's been in -- in her grade level placement it did not surprise me that that -- that fit in with all of the other information I was getting in terms of -- in order to be successful in the fifth grade with the material she was being taught, she would need to have a degree of competency at that level.

Q And did you -- did you speak to the person who administered the CRI?

A I did not.

Q And you -- you've never spoken to the individuals who designed the CRI, correct?

A I have not. No.

Q And would you defer to the interpretation of the person who administered the assessment as to what it meant in terms of reading --

A I had no reason to doubt the credibility and the credentials of the individual.

Q So you would defer to that person?

A Unless I found other -- other information that

		Williams - Cross 69
	1	would suggest that they you know, that I should
	2	question it. I I had no reason not to do that.
	3	Q You indicated that you reviewed a diagnostic
	4	reading assessment?
	5	A There was something in her file.
	6	Q Did you review one diagnostic reading
	7	assessment or two?
	8	A I believe there were two, but again I would have
	9	to take a look at that again.
	10	Q And the I believe you said the diagnostic
	11	reading assessment is a progress monitoring tool,
	12	correct?
1		
	13	A It's the developmental reading assessment.
	13 14	A It's the developmental reading assessment. Q Oh, I'm sorry.
	14	Q Oh, I'm sorry.
	14 15	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred
	14 15 16	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred to. Yeah. Yes. It informs instruction typically done
	14 15 16 17	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred to. Yeah. Yes. It informs instruction typically done by the teacher in order for the teacher to have a sense
	14 15 16 17 18	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred to. Yeah. Yes. It informs instruction typically done by the teacher in order for the teacher to have a sense of how to establish goals and and objectives for
	14 15 16 17 18	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred to. Yeah. Yes. It informs instruction typically done by the teacher in order for the teacher to have a sense of how to establish goals and and objectives for reading instruction.
	14 15 16 17 18 19	Q Oh, I'm sorry. A Yes. Yes. Yes. But DRA is typically referred to. Yeah. Yes. It informs instruction typically done by the teacher in order for the teacher to have a sense of how to establish goals and and objectives for reading instruction. So again, there are different levels of

25 evaluations, psychological. You know, it's all at

assessments, people are trained to learning

	1	different levels of expertise but it's a commonly used
	2	method by teachers.
	3	Q And the teachers are trained to use the
	4	A Dibbles.
	5	Q The developmental reading assessment to
	6	monitor progress, correct?
	7	A And to inform instruction.
	8	Q And and to inform instruction. And are
	9	you familiar with the term "inter-rater reliability"?
	10	A Yes.
	11	Q And how is how do the authors of an
	12	instrument such as the developmental reading assessment
· ·	13	ensure inter-rater reliability?
	14	A It as they would in any
	15	Q Let's back up. What is inter-rater
	16	reliability?
	17	A Inter inter-rater reliability means that if you
	18	have a standard of training that every person if
	19	if every person in this room were trained a similar way
	20	and we held to that training protocol and to assess
	21	whatever it might be we should get similar results
	22	because we are following a standardized method. So
	23	that we look for for example, in an an
į.	24	experimental study, if we were doing an experimental
	25	study of children's reading abilities we would look for

L	high inter-rater reliability such as it wasn't
3	dependent upon the rater, the variabilities were not
3	dependent upon the rater, rather that the variabilities
<u>l</u>	would be dependent upon the actual performance of the
5	youngster.
5	Q And do you know how the authors of diagnostic

- reading assessment ensure inter-rater reliability?

 A Well, as a -- as a more informal measure if there are less stringent criteria -- criteria for it because, for example, and I'll go back to what I do which is training students to do psychological evaluations, is that we have to ensure a high degree of standardization because the variability should never be -- for example, with an I.Q. test or any kind of assessment the -- the difference is not whether I give it or another school psychologist gives it, that -- so the higher degree of training, the more specified the training, the more degree we have of confidence in that person.
- Q So with a -- with the developmental reading assessment the teachers are expected to basically follow the directions on the form?
- A Yes. And it would depend on what kind of instruction they have had, what kind of supervision they have had, what kind of feedback they've gotten as to -- and -- and I'm not aware of the individual

1 teachers and what that would have been.

Q What do you mean it would depend on what kind of instruction?

The teachers are -- are trained in -- and

A Well, if I'm in --

Yes.

Α

Q It would depend on the instructions the teachers have had?

once you are -- once you're a certified teacher you have in service training, you have professional development and typically what happens when there's a new skill that a teacher is going to be using there has to be training on that. So again, was that training that was given with a lot of degree of supervision, with a lot of degree of feedback. The more -- the more effort that's put into that the more reliable it's going to be because you may interpret it somewhat differently than the person sitting next to you. The more frequently you do it the better you get at doing it.

Q I'm going to hand you what's previously been marked P-8.

MR. BENTLEY: Is it --

MS. REISMAN: Yes. It's --

MR. BENTLEY: DRA?

MS. REISMAN: DRA.

		WIIIIams - Closs /3
t	1.	BY MS. REISMAN:
	2	Q Did you review P-8 in preparing your report?
	3	A Hmm-hmm.
	4	Q Yes?
	5	A Yes, I'm sorry.
	6	Q And this was a this was a DRA administered
	7	by it it states on the document administered by
	8	and correct?
	9	A Hmm-hmm.
	10	MR. BENTLEY: You have to say "yes" or
	11	"no."
	12	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	13	MR. BENTLEY: We can't hear the
	14	THE WITNESS: Slow learner. Yes.
٠.	15	BY MS. REISMAN:
	16	Q And at the top of that the note says that
	17	she's at a beginning second grade reading level but a
	18	fifth grade level, correct?
	19	A That's what I'm reading. Yes.
	20	Q So this DRA established a second grade
	21	beginning second grade level, correct?
	22	A I would say this was one indicator, but again I'm
i Ž	23	not in my record review, and there were many other
	24	indicators that that there were that in this
	25	particular time she may not have done as well, and that

was a notation made by the examiner, Linda or Al 1 2 Gutfreund (phonetic), and it made -- but I would not 3 accept that as that was the definitive reading level at 4 this point because of the nature of the instrument. 5 It's more of a screening device. It's more of a 6 progress monitor. She did -- I'm sorry. 7 Go ahead. 8 Α I was going to say as I look at the results of 9 this, you know, she did rather well in this particular 10 area but I don't have an indication of what she would 11 have done in a more difficult passage, you know, a 12 third grade passage. If this was -- she said second 13 grade reading level. 14 Q Okay. 15 Are we looking at then a different -- either a 16 follow up assessment or a different assessment on --17 before I would accept that. 18 And when you say this is a screening device, 19 it screens this device -- this particular device is 20 designed to screen for both fluency and comprehension, 21 correct? 22 Α Yes. Reading -- oral reading fluency is measured 23 and then they have the number of words, number of

miscues and then there were -- where she had to answer

some questions about what she had read. And then it

24

	1	there was some written. And after reading she had to
N.	2	write a summary or a retell of what she had read.
	3	Q So when the examiner follows the directions
	4	on the instrument they should get an accurate picture
	5	of both comprehension and fluency, correct?
	6	A Assuming that yes. Assuming that if they
	7	followed the directions. That's what we don't know.
	8	Q I'm now going to hand you what's been marked
	9	as exhibit R
	10	A Okay. Which looks to have been done
	11	Q R-11 is
	12	A January 22 nd
Ì	13	Q January 22 nd . It's another DRA. Do you want
	14	to take a minute to look through it?
	15	A Okay. (Shuffling papers.) Okay.
	16	Q Did you have you had a chance to look at
	17	R-11?
	18	A Yes. I skimmed it.
	19	Q And did you review R-11 when you were
	20	rendering your report?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And if you look at the second page of R-11
	23	there's a at the bottom there are instructions for
	24	the person who is administering the evaluation,
	25	correct?

	1	A You're referring to "Use the student's oral
	2	reading time to circle the words per minute?"
	3	Q Yes. Yes.
	4	A Okay. Yes.
	5	Q And then it says, "Count the number of
	6	miscues that are not self-corrected." And then right
	7	below that what does it say?
	8	A Below the the grid there?
	9	Q Yeah.
	10	A Yeah. "If the students were false in one or both
	11	of the shaded areas below stop this assessment, assess
	12	with a lower DRA, too. Next level at another time."
:	13	Q Reassess with a lower DRA to text level at
	14	another time. So you're supposed to drop down to
	15	A Right.
	16	Q another text level if the student has
	17	miscues or words per minute that fall in the shaded
	18	area. And H.M. had 12 or more miscues, correct?
	19	A Correct. Yes.
	20	Q Okay. In fact, if you turn to the next page
	21	she had 22 miscues that were not self-corrected.
	22	A Yes.
	23	Q She had six miscues that were self-corrected.
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q Correct?

- Q And the miscues included omissions, insertions, substitutions of a visually similar -- not visually similar. Correct?
- A Yes.
- Q Okay. And then in the far left column "The student problem solves words using..." It has -- lists some strategies. These are strategies you can use if, you know, you don't have automaticity, correct?

 A Yes.
- Q Okay. So H.M. was using strategies because she didn't have automaticity with the test?
- A It would appear so. Yes.
- Q Okay. In -- in this case the evaluator continued to administer the assessment, although the instruction on the instrument said to stop the assessment and to reassess with a lower DRA test level, correct?
- A Yes.
- Q So based on your earlier testimony this -this evaluator didn't follow the instructions, correct?

 A Possibly yes. It appears what she did here is she continued. I don't know what her level of -- of training in this or -- or to complete a DRA is nor do I know what the other individual's level of training was.

1.	Q Is anyone's level of training going to allow
2	them to actually disregard the actual instructions on
3	the page?
4	A There is a there are times, depending on the
5	person's level of training, when we suggest they test
6	the limits, which means that although you're not going
7	to you're not going to continue to give credit for,
8	you're going to continue to administer something in
9	order to see where the youngster get more
10	qualitative information.
11	Q But if you were to test the limits, this
12	doing that with this instrument would not give you an
13	accurate, independent reading level if you ignored the
14	the explicit instruction to stop if there are a
15	certain number of miscues, isn't that right?
16	A Well, we we may not be able again to
17	quantitatively look at that, but we can qualitatively
18	look at because there's a lot of other things that she
19	did beyond this which was written expression because we
20	have some children who did better in one on area
21	than the other.
22	Q I'm not asking about anything but
23	MR. BENTLEY: Objection. The witness is in

the middle of responding to the question.

MS. REISMAN: Well, she wasn't -- move to

A (Coughing.)

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q Or would you defer to a reading specialist on that question?

A Perhaps. But what I'm thinking -- what I'm saying is in general there are times when we do want to get more information while, as I said, testing the limits.

Q Right. I understand you might want to get more information, but the information you would get wouldn't be her independent reading level.

A We could not look at that in the same way we did

had had if if the directions were followed to
reassess at a lower level then we would look at that
if we did that we would look at that as with more
in in a way that we originally set out, is what I'm
saying. Okay.

If this -- the original -- the original purpose for the DRA was to determine independent reading level frustration and -- and instructional level, okay, so then to inform instruction. The fact that -- I would not make that completely that if the person disregarded this direction, I think that was what I was trying to answer, the person disregarded the directions, I don't know if it was because she was attempting to test the limits or she just didn't know to stop. I -- I would need to ask her.

- Q But if she was attempting to test the limits it wouldn't give us H.M.'s independent reading level. It might give us other information.
- A Yes. It might tell us --
 - Q And it would not --
- 21 A Help us understand where the difficulties are.
 - Q Right. It would not give us her independent reading level.
- 24 A If that was the only criteria, no.
- 25 Q Now you testified that part of what went into

1	your conclusion was the fact that H.M. was getting A's
2	and B's in her classes.
3	A Part of my yes.
4	Q Do you do you have any idea whether when
5	H.M. took tests if she was allowed to retake them?
6	A I believe I read in the records that part of her
7	resource center support was that she could take she
8	could take tests in the resource center which is a very
9	common modification that youngsters are given.
10	Q Do you know whether she was allowed to take a
11	test, and then if she didn't do well, retake the test?
12	A I don't recall if I saw that in her I.E.P. but
13	that that's possible.
14	Q Okay. So she she was definitely getting
15	the modification of taking tests in the resource
16	center, correct?
17	MR. BENTLEY: Objection. She just said she
18	didn't know.
19	MS. REISMAN: She said she said she did
20	know that she was allowed to take tests in
21	THE WITNESS: I said it is a common it is
22	a common modification.
23	THE COURT: That was
24	THE WITNESS: I don't recall whether I saw
25	that on the IEP or not but it would not surprise me at

A Yes.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And there are Core Curriculum Content
Standards that directly relate to reading fluency, are
they not?

A Yes. They're within the language arts literacy component.

Q Okay. And when you reviewed H.M.'s IEP's you -- I believe you said you reviewed the most recent IEP, correct?

A There were many in the file so I do generally -- had looked at them.

Q Did you review all of the IEP's?

1	A Yes, but not with great detail because it was
2	there were minimal services being offered.
3	Q Okay. Did you review the IEP's to determine
4	whether the goals and objectives were in line to
5	current Core Curriculum Content Standards?
6	A I reviewed the IEP's specifically because in
7	if a youngster is receiving replacement instruction,
8	meaning that the primary instruction is coming through
9	special education, then then the goals and
10	objectives in the IEP should reflect that. In other
11	words, it's different from perhaps based on the Core
12	Curriculum Standards but different from what typical
13	fifth graders are getting. What I saw was a youngster
14	who was expected to achieve the Core Curriculum
15	Standards of a fifth grader, therefore many of those
16	things do not have to be included in the IEP. It's the
17	exceptions that have to be included.
18	Q Right. And and there were some goals and
19	objectives included in the IEP, correct?
20	A I believe so.
21	Q I'll I'll get you a copy of that.
22	A Thank you.
23	Q (Laughing.) Actually, I have got that.
	\(\text{Ladgiffig.}, \text{Plecadiffy, if have \(\text{goe}\) chare.

MS. REISMAN: That's the --

1	MR. BENTLEY: The offer of proof for
2	THE COURT: No. It is it's in evidence.
3	MR. BENTLEY: But but
4	MS. REISMAN: 2007/2008 I.E.P.
5	MR. BENTLEY: I understand, but what's the
6	reasons why it's being showed to the witness.
7	MS. REISMAN: So that she can look at the
8	goals and objectives on the document to refresh her
9	recollection.
10	MR. BENTLEY: The witness was only offered
11	in terms of the and eligibility.
12	THE COURT: Well, I'll permit it to see
13	I'm not sure what the question is yet. So
14	MR. BENTLEY: Right. I'm sure it's that
15	that's what she's being offered for.
1.6	THE COURT: And you want her to
17	MS. REISMAN: I would like for her to look
18	at the under "Reading skills."
19	THE WITNESS: Which would be? Right
20	MS. REISMAN: There's one there's writing
21	and then the
22	THE WITNESS: Following that? Okay. I see
23	it.
24	MR. BENTLEY: Again, Judge, I object. Why
25	is this witness outside the scope of direct? I never

	WIIII CIOSS
1	asked her one question about the IEP. We had other
2	people who testified about the IEP. We didn't need
3	this witness to determine the IEP. We didn't ask her
4	to look at the IEP. It's outside the scope of cross.
5	If they want to call her back and her and pay her,
6	that's fine, but
7	THE COURT: Well, I want to find out what
8	the question is before I rule on it so
9	BY MS. REISMAN:
10	Q Your determination that the decision to
11	declassify H.M. was correct was in part based upon your
12	conclusion based upon documentation that she was
13	achieving consistent with the Core Curriculum Content
14	Standards, correct?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Okay. And in making that determination did
17	you look at the goals and objectives that were aligned
18	to the Core Curriculum Content Standards in the IEP?
19	A I did not spend a lot of time looking at the
20	the the IEP goals and objectives because she was
21	being all of the other documentation was in the
22	general ed setting.
23	Q Did you look at the progress reporting on the
24	goals and objectives in the IEP?

What I'm looking at right now?

1 Q Yeah. Yes.

A I don't recall looking directly at this. But again, the exception to what is not being -- if whatever is being instructed through the special education program should be -- what I was looking, what I would have been more interested in as far -- when determining is this program necessary for this youngster.

Q But isn't the goal that's on her IEP what is being instructed through the special education program? Given the fact that there's a goal on her IEP, doesn't that indicate that she needs — that's where she needs support?

A She is needed -- support by -- by definition is just supporting the general education curriculum. So that my expectation is that she's following the Core Curriculum Content Standards upon which the goal is perhaps based. And it says right here, "Goals and objectives benchmarks," but it's -- and I would more -- we -- as you know, the Core Curriculum Content Standards are huge. They're -- you know, we're not going to include them in every child's IEP. We only include what might be the exception.

If a youngster is being supported in special education, instructed primarily in general education,

		WIIIIAMS - CIOSS 0/
ero.	1	then my my belief is that their goals and objectives
	2	come from the Core Curriculum Contents Standard.
	3	Q So she should H.M. should be meeting all
	4	the goals and objectives in the Core Curriculum Content
	5	Standards for literacy?
	6	A If she is with whatever supports are being offered
	7	to her. But again, what is what is expected of a
	8	fifth grader is quite varied from, as I said, 25 th to
	9	75 th percentile. So we're not expecting all children to
	10	be on the same page at the same time.
	11	Q But the Core Curriculum Content Standards do
	12	set up minimum levels of expectation for for reading
*****	13	fluency, correct?
	14	A Correct.
	15	Q You you testified that of particular note
	16	to you is Ms. Woodland's report which is the
	17	A Learning evaluation.
	18	Q Learning evaluation. That is
	19	A I don't have a copy of that. I went to my
	20	summary.
	21	MR. BENTLEY: It's not it's not up
	22	there?
	23	THE WITNESS: No. I have the psychological,
. * . *	24	but not the learning.
	25	BY MS. REISMAN:

		Williams - Cross 88
	1	Q It's exhibit R-31.
	2	MR. BENTLEY: I
	3	MS. REISMAN: Oh okay. Oh, yeah, yeah,
	4	yeah.
	5	THE WITNESS: Well
	6	MS. REISMAN: That's (laughing)
	7	THE COURT: Are you going to refer to a
	- 8	specific area or
	9	MS. REISMAN: Well, she's testified of
	10	particular note was the learning assessment.
	11	BY MS. REISMAN:
	12	Q What in particular in this learning
·. •	13	assessment was important to you?
	14	A Whether standardized assessment standardized
	15	assessment that Ms. Woodland had done.
	16	Q That would be the Woodcock-Johnson?
	17	A The Woodcock-Johnson Three, test of achievement.
	18	Q Okay. Which is a and would not tell you
	19	anything about reading fluency, correct?
	20	A I I don't recall if there's anything timed
	21	within that. It's a it's a complete battery and it
	22	may say in Ms. Woodland's report.
	23	Q What in particular, besides the Woodcock-
	24	Johnson
	25	A Well the Grey Oral Reading Test. I think that was

	i de la companya de
1	selected specifically because of the question being
2	asked. This was this was a the instruments were
3	chosen to address the questions and that specifically
4	does, you see that on page five where she's given a
5	series of graded passages and read aloud and then the
6	examiner records the numbers of deviations from print
7	and that made it that are made in notes in time in
8	seconds that it takes to read the passage. So we
9	that goes to fluency, rate and accuracy are derived
10	from this procedure.
11	Q Right. And and further down she says,
12	"H.M. experienced difficulty with oral fluency
14	1 11111 1111111111111111111111111111111
13	accuracy."
13	accuracy."
13 14	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a
13	accuracy."
13 14	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a
13 14 15	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade
13 14 15 16	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0."
13 14 15 16 17	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0." Q Right.
13 14 15 16 17	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0." Q Right. A Okay. Because again, the graded passages mean
13 14 15 16 17 18	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0." Q Right. A Okay. Because again, the graded passages mean they get more difficult as you go as you go along.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0." Q Right. A Okay. Because again, the graded passages mean they get more difficult as you go as you go along. Am I missing
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	accuracy." A The paragraph, "Reading sub-tests, received a standard score of eight and eight 25 and a grade equivalent score of 5.0." Q Right. A Okay. Because again, the graded passages mean they get more difficult as you go as you go along. Am I missing Q Keep going down. "While H.M. experienced

"-- be impacted." Correct?

1 A Yes.

Q But if your oral fluency accuracy is low it's going to take you longer and reading is more laborious, is that right?

A I think if you say in general, but yet in -- in whatever -- and this is what we don't understand about individual children is how they compensate for the -- the areas. As I said, any -- any of us, any of the weaknesses that we might have. The bottom line was that she was able to read and comprehend.

Q Did you review any input from the parents on how long it was taking her to do her homework or how long it was taking her to get to read and comprehend?

A Yeah. I do recall there was some -- because there was some correspondence in the file from the parents and I -- I could not quote it, but the fact that she's a very motivated, hard-working student who works hard to get the grades that she does. I understand that. I admire that.

Q Right. And wouldn't you agree that if it's taking the student three or four hours to do homework that's taking other students a half an hour then there's an educational deficit?

MR. BENTLEY: Objection. I see -- I see no foundation for that question.

1	MS. REISMAN: Assuming that the parents
2	the parents were complaining about the amount of time
3	it was taking H.M. to do her homework.
4	MR. BENTLEY: There there's no evidence
5	of that and there's that's all
6	MS. REISMAN: She she
7	MR. BENTLEY: Let me finish my objection.
8	No evidence of that particular state of facts or or
9	to the facts on the record. It ask this witness
10	about something that the parents may testify to?
11	THE COURT: Well, you're asking the witness
12	if there is that indication somewhere in the record?
13	MS. REISMAN: She said that there was an
14	indication in the record that the parents had concerns
15	about the amount of time it was taking H.M. to do her
16	homework.
17	THE COURT: Okay.
18	MS. REISMAN: I can withdraw the way the
19	question is phrased.
20	THE COURT: Right.
21	MS. REISMAN: And I will phrase it this way.
22	BY MS. REISMAN:
23	Q Isn't doesn't does the fact that the
24	parents were reporting that it was taking H.M., what
25	they seemed to think was extensive time to complete her

1 homework, doesn't that indicate an educational deficit? 2 Α No. MR. BENTLEY: 3 I'm going to object. All we 4 have is -- the witness said she was aware of the 5 parents expressing concerning about something. 6 don't know about what the -- was, what the objective 7 amount was and so the question is unfair. 8 THE COURT: All right. 9 MR. BENTLEY: I think we should ask the 10 witness what her understanding is of what the parents 11 concerns were --12 THE COURT: Yeah. 13 MR. BENTLEY: -- and then go from there. 14 BY MS. REISMAN: 15 What's -- what's your understanding of what 16 the parents' concerns were? 17 Α Like many parents I think that, you know, kids 18 have different -- parents get concerned when they see 19 their children seated for hours doing their homework. I understand that. I don't know how -- how frequent 20 that was. I don't -- I just recall seeing something in 21 22 terms of the parents' concern. 23 I find that many children who are high achievers 24 who do well, who earn A's and B's in school do it as a

result of a lot of effort. It did not surprise me.

Yeah. It happens all the time. Probably all of us
have taken a long time to -- not everybody moves very
rapidly and quickly through what they do. They work
hard for it.

Q You stated before that if I had -- if you had a copy of one of your Powerpoints you would be able to speak more about what -- what's expected in fourth, fifth and sixth grade.

A Sure.

Q H.M. was in fourth and fifth grade during the years in question. She's in sixth grade -- sixth grade now. Would you like a copy of your Powerpoint to refresh your recollection?

A No. I think -- I responded to that in terms of how many words -- new words children learn per year.

It's astounding. It's astounding how much, you know, and we -- we have lots of research to support that those children, and I was thinking about, you know, children who come from a rich language background who are early kids -- early childhood who were spoken to or talked to, I mean they have a huge advantage because so much of what they learn is based on understanding words.

And in this case once they get to be in fourth and fifth and sixth grade they have to read those words,

not just, you know, hear them in their conversation with their -- in their home environment or in school. Vocabulary is very important is what I was referring to.

Q Isn't it true that's it's difficult to correctly guess the identity of the words with context in fourth, fifth, sixth grade passages?

A I don't know that I could say that. Depending -depending upon the word. You know, depending upon your
understanding of words -- similar words to it, you
know. I couldn't make that generalization.

Q Okay. If you said that in a Powerpoint, it was a mistake?

A No. I'm just saying how many new words, and I did say that if -- if you're in a science lesson, in a social studies lesson, anything more technical that you have to introduce the -- typically the way children are taught is that you introduce new vocabulary words first because they wouldn't have encountered them in their reading, you know, in their -- their reading instruction, you know, things that relate to photosynthesis or whatever it might be, whatever the topic you're teaching.

MS. REISMAN: Move to strike. Non-responsive. I asked if she said that in the Powerpoint

Williams - Cross if it was a mistake. 1 2 MR. BENTLEY: I'm kinda lost as to --I'm lost. THE WITNESS: 3 MR. BENTLEY: -- the relevancy here but --4 All right. If that -- if that 5 THE COURT: was the question the response, you're correct, was not 6 7 responsive and I will not consider it. You can re-ask the question. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Okay. 10 BY MS. REISMAN: 11 If you stated that it's very difficult to 0 correctly - the identity of new words just from the 12 context of passage in fourth, fifth and sixth grade 13 14 texts in a Powerpoint, was that an error? If I said -- I think what I referred to, and I 1.5 16 said if I had my Powerpoint here, you said that many new words are introduced 10,000, I believe you used, to 17 students within a year. And I said it is astronomical 18 19 and that's something that -- that the information that 20 I didn't recall but I would agree with that. 21 0 Okay. 22 Yes. Α 23 Q What about the sentence that I just said?

Please repeat that.

The sentence that I just said was -- I -- if

24

25

Α

13 MS. REISMAN: Yes. 14 THE WITNESS: Okay. Reading Rockets. Okay. 15 MS. REISMAN: Yes. 16 THE WITNESS: Yes, I would like to see it. 17 THE COURT: All right. Show -- show the witness the Powerpoint. If you have the specific 18 19 passage or --20 MS. REISMAN: Yes. 21 THE COURT: -- whatever then let's --22 MS. REISMAN: It's very long. It's on page 23 -- on page seven. I'm just asking about this sentence 24 here on the bottom.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

Case 1:	09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 98 of 153 PageID: 1402 Williams - Cross 97
1	MS. REISMAN: Should we mark this for
2	identification? I'm not going to move to
3	THE COURT: Probably should. Yes. Why
4	don't you mark it was R-30?
5	MS. REISMAN: P
6	THE COURT: I'm sorry. P P-20.
7	(P-20 marked for
8	Identification.)
9	THE WITNESS: Okay.
10	MR. BENTLEY: I'm sorry. Is the witness
11	being asked to read the entire Powerpoint?
12	MS. REISMAN: No.
13	THE COURT: No. No. Just the one
14	MS. REISMAN: I'm asking her to look at
15	THE COURT: Page seven.
16	MS. REISMAN: Page seven. Sorry. I didn't
17	give you a copy.
18	THE COURT: And the
19	BY MS. REISMAN:
20	Q In the middle slide on the second column.
21	A Yes.
22	Q Okay.
23	A This information was this was a training that I
24	had done with two other colleagues and as we noted on

the -- the first slide there was additional information

was from Joseph Torguson (phonetic) and I'm just trying to recall whether this was either my original slide or from Dr. Torguson's.

- Q Well, do you disagree with the statement?

 A "State -- correctly guess the identity of these new words just from the contents of the passage." No, I don't disagree with that.
- Q And I believe this indicates fourth, fifth and sixth graders encounter about 10,000 words they have never seen before during a year's worth of reading?
- A Yeah. I think it was referring to the previous point. If you look at the -- the previous slide which was -- you could read -- if you -- if you just try to actually read that, that -- that it's actually -- you can't even understand that even though there's some non-sensical words written in there.
- Q Right. This is the slide that says, "These are interesting and challenging-- you can understand that but it takes longer to understand it and it's harder to do because of the way certain words are --"

 A Yeah. You're using something called the close technique which means you're filling in what -- you have to fill in by nature of -- of your intellectual abilities.

	Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 100 of 153 PageID: William \$404 Cross 99
44.,	1	THE COURT: All right, Counsel. Let's move
	2	on.
	3	MS. REISMAN: Okay.
	4	BY MS. REISMAN:
	5	Q You also testified that the Dibbles is it
	6	is being used now with fifth graders to assess oral
	7	reading and fluency, correct?
	8	A Uh hmm.
	9	THE COURT: That's a yes?
	10	BY MS. REISMAN:
	11	Q Yes?
	12	A Yes.
	13	Q And you testified that really what that's
	14	designed the Dibbles is designed to plot a line of
	15	trajectory, correct?
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q And that's because the way the DIBELS is used
	18	is you assess at the beginning of the year and the end
	19	of the year and you see if the student is progressing.
	20	Is that correct?
	21	A That is correct. You assess at whatever interval.
	22	There there is no standard method to do that but you
1	23	typically do it at regular interval intervals as you
1 .	24	would any other kind of height, weight measurement to
	25	see are we moving in the right direction. They use

1 graded passages to do that.

б

But as I mentioned inherent in any kind of curriculum based assessment, which is what DIBELS is, is that you -- we understand that this is a -- a more informal measure. We take -- we do three one minute samples, throw out the top, throw out the bottom and -- and go with the middle. Because it can vary with familiarity with words, as we just said, as I just mentioned, or what the text is all about.

Q And there is no line of trajectory for H.M., correct?

A I do not see one.

Q Because there -- you -- you only saw the one assessment, the one --

A My recollection was that it was a request that she be -- that DIBELS be -- someone made that request that a -- a DIBELS measure be done. Yet so that we didn't have pre -- we didn't have information before or since.

Q Okay.

A That I saw.

Q So her -- from what you could see there was no criteria -- records -- records on -- for oral reading?

A Other than what was built into the reading -- the reading program that was in the classroom. There would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

naturally be something that I did not -- it would not necessarily be in the file but if you looked at the fifth grade reading program they have to have some way of assessing progress. I mean, that's -- that's good education.

- That would be her grades?
- It could be her grades. It could be her -- her tests of -- you know, her -- her unit tests. Whatever the particular measures are that the teacher would do.
- Did you see anything besides her grades that showed any kind of ongoing progress monitor?
- A Well the thing that when we and I wanted to mention this about Core Curriculum -- the Core Curriculum Content Standards is that the State -- the State Department of Education has developed the New Jersey ASK in order to assess that. Again, that was one other thing that I looked at that she was proficient or, you know, average to above average in areas that she was assessed.
- That's right. If she's taking the ASK under Q untimed conditions, though, it's not going to tell you anything about her fluency, is it?
- No, but it's going to tell me a lot about her Α overall achievement which is I guess -- and I think the basic difference if I can, and I don't know, Judge, if

I can do this, but the basic difference I see is that when I look at a youngster's reading ability it's the overall picture of how well can they read, the component parts to reading. If we don't focus -- if -- if we have a competent reader then I don't go back to focus to say is there one area that's still weak because for whatever happens she has compensated for that.

O Do --

A I may not be a fluent reader. I may read more slowly. I may work harder. I may, you know, I may be a tremendously fluent reader but I don't comprehend.

All right. But if the bottom line is I can achieve or I or any youngster can achieve, then we stop looking at individual progress indicators. We say we've achieved a basic level of competency. And we -- as we said we reaped -- the reading -- the learning to read we perceive to reading to learn and grow in our knowledge.

Q The Core Curriculum Content Standards for the State of New Jersey specifically state that students will understand and apply the knowledge of sounds, letters and words in written English to become independent and fluent readers?

- A That's the goal. Sure. Sure. Yes
 - Q So fluency is part of the Core Curriculum

Of course it's not the only part, but my

- 2

Α

- 3
- 5

- 6 7
- 8

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 24
- 25

- question to you was whether the -- taking the New
- Jersey ASK under untimed conditions would give you
- information about fluency.
 - No, but it's not specifically designed just to
- measure fluency is what I'm saying. There's a bigger
- 9 picture there when we talk about a group administered
- 10 paper and pencil standardized test. We can only
- 11 measure fluency individually when we assess it
 - individually, which would either be through Dibbles,
 - the DRA or the Grey Oral Reading Test or many other
 - measures.
 - When you say there's a big picture, part of the big picture includes, according to the Core
 - Curriculum Content Standards, fluency?
 - Sure. And comprehension and many other things. Α
 - Yes.
- Q Right.
- Α Yes. I agree.
- When -- when you look at the NJ ASK scores do O
- 23 you consider whether or not they've gone up or
 - declined?
- Α I look to particularly the fourth and the fifth

No. We look at whether they're making -- whether

they're meeting the Core Curriculum Content Standards.

24

Williams 10 Cross 10

But it doesn't show progress? 1 2 Α Well, the logic is that as we move along in fourth 3 grade -- what -- what we're expecting with a fourth 4 grader is less than what we're expecting of a fifth 5 grader so she's continued to do at or better because 6 it's a norm referenced test that she's keeping pace 7 with. So yes, it would be progress. 8 So she did worse in fifth grade then she Q would have had a little regression? 9 10 Well, it depends on what we -- when you measure, 11 and I'd have to look at the scores and I'd have to look 12 at the standard error of measurement and things like 13 that because that's why we talk about ranges and not 14 specific scores. 15 Okay. 16 You know, is she out of the same range because as 17 much as we're able to measure we're not perfect in 18 that. 19 MR. BENTLEY: I'm just amazed that she 20 hasn't --

MS. REISMAN: (Laughing.)

BY MS. REISMAN:

21

22

23

24

25

Q Did you speak to anyone from the District who did any of the testing that you reviewed?

A No, I did not.

	Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 107 of 153 PageID: William 411 Cross 106
	1	MS. REISMAN: Can I have a couple of
	2	minutes, Your Honor?
	3	THE COURT: Sure.
	4	MS. REISMAN: Just to
	5	MR. BENTLEY: A break?
	6	THE COURT: Pardon?
	7	MR. BENTLEY: Off the record?
	8	THE COURT: Off the record, I assume. Off
	9	the record?
	10	MS. REISMAN: Yes.
	11	(BRIEF RECESS)
25TF .	12	THE COURT: I I certainly will I'll
The second	13	call on it. I did mention it before but we'll see what
	14	we can do. Usually, it's off over the weekend and it
	15	comes back on on Monday so all right. You may
	16	continue.
	17	MS. REISMAN: Yes, Judge.
	18	BY MS. REISMAN:
	19	Q I exhibit R-13 which was is that the
	20	assessment you reviewed?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q And on this assessment the the gray bar is
	23	the target goal, correct?
	24	A Yes.
	25	Q And the the scores that H.M. achieved in

the February administration of the DIBELS were below 1 the target goal, correct? 2 3 It appears so. If the target goal is -- well, 4 it's not a very accurate graph so we have, as I'm reading it, under oral reading fluency fifth grade 5 would correspond to somewhere between 100 and 120, so 6 7 we could say 110, perhaps. 8 Q Well, actually, if you -- you're right. Ιt is a difficult to read graph. I think if you look at 9 10 February, fifth grade -- put a piece of paper under-11 neath where the bottom of that target is you see 120. A Let me look at February. Okay. Yes. And I would 12 say in that particular administration she was below. 13 14 She had, it looked like 107 -- accurately. 15 Administration -- her fluency is below the 16 target goal? 17 By this administration it looked to be. Yes. Α And there are no other administrations? 18 That's correct. That I saw. 19 Α 20 Okay. In the progress monitoring you Q 21 reviewed were her report card grades? Do this -- (shuffling papers) -- what I said in 22 A the conclusions were that I looked at her -- at the NJ 23 24 ASK scores, and as well as throughout the progress

monitoring would have been her report card grades, her

1

- 3
- 4
- 6

- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- -- in teacher comments, things that I had gleaned from reports from reading the child study team records.
- So you based your -- your conclusion on the records that had been provided to you by the child study team, not the actual progress reporting that underlay those records?
- Right. No, that is not correct. I based my conclusions upon every -- the file was perhaps this thick, so I tried to base my conclusions upon synthesizing all of the information that I felt was relevant. To say that "A" was to "B" that "B," it was
- it was an overall impression based upon the preponderance of the data.
- Okay. And the progress monitoring was then Q report card grades. And do you recall any other progress monitoring that was in the file?
- Α Well I consider -- any -- any assessment would be, I compared the 2005 to 2008 to looking at her report cards to looking at the NJ ASK scores. You know, I guess it's -- it depends upon how we define progress monitoring. If we look at it as an instrument that is purely designed just to monitor progress then I didn't see any other DIBELS. I only saw one DIBELS at this point in time. There were -- but I would consider the generic progress monitoring to be all of the data all

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 110 of 153 PageID Williams 1414 Redirect 109	
1	together.	
2	Q And that would be the the data including	
3	just the comments of teachers?	
4	A Everything. Teacher comment, test results, group	
5	tests, individual tests, norm referenced tests	
6	reference tests.	
7	Q Or or just comments that have no that	
8	are not norm referenced or criterion referenced?	
9	A Well, part of doing any kind of an assessment or	
10	any kind of background gathering background	
11	information is that we look at, you know, reports from	
12	people who have worked with the youngster.	
13	MS. REISMAN: That's all I have.	
14	THE COURT: Any redirect?	
15	REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENTLEY:	
16	Q Dr. Williams, in the learning assessment, I	
17	think it's R-31, I believe you still have that in front	
18	of you.	
19	A Yes, I do.	
20	Q You were asked some questions regarding the	
21	test for reading fluency that's on page five.	
22	A And I'm sorry. What was	
23	Q I'm sorry. The test for reading fluency.	
24	A Okay.	
25	Q You testified about that.	
1	ı	

1 A Oh, the Grey Oral Reading?

- Q Right. And I believe you said that was the result -- the result of that test which were in the average range. Do you -- do you -- and based upon your understanding of that test and how it was administered, was that a timed test?
- A It would have been, and as reported here because it was -- it was measuring what the issue as it appears to be which is reading fluency, the rate and accuracy. And as it was explained it said that it was based on her reading -- H.M. reading out loud and noting the time and seconds that it takes her to read a passage.
- Q Right. And on page four of the assess -- of R-31 there's a -- there's a reading fluency subtest within the --
- A Woodcock-Johnson.
- Q Woodcock-Johnson. Is that correct?
- 18 A Yes.
 - Q Do you know of, and based upon the results of

 -- from the learning assessment, what were H.M.'s

 results, what were her scores for the reading fluency

 subtest for --
- 23 A Well --
- 24 0 -- the Woodcock-Johnson?
- 25 A Okay. The reading fluency test has a standard

23

24

25

Α I -- I don't know. I didn't ask her. I have not spoken to her, but I can tell you that again in --

MS. REISMAN: Objection. She's going to -she said she doesn't know.

forward beyond the DRA's explicit instructions?

MS. REISMAN: Objection to the form of the question. There -- there is no -- that Ms. Baals had known H.M. for approximately one month at that time, knew her in any intimate way that would -- I mean, there's just no testimony to support the foundation of that sentence.

MR. BENTLEY: I believe that's incorrect.

I believe she has only worked with her for I think

about a month, that is true. She also testified that

given that month she is well aware of what H.M.'s

reading levels are. In fact, she said there was no way

she was being -- her instructional level was at second

grade so that's why she questioned the results of the

DRA and that's why she made the decision to move

forward.

MS. REISMAN: This witness also --

THE COURT: Well --

MS. REISMAN: -- said she's not a reading specialist and we're -- we're asking about, you know, administration of a particular reading assessment.

MR. BENTLEY: Well, then --

THE COURT: Well, wait -- wait, Counsel.

MR. BENTLEY: The rest of the questions then should be stricken from the record. If Counsel

1	spent about an hour, hour and a half asking her
2	questions about the DRA and DIBELS, and now she's
3	saying she's not a reading specialist, and then I get
4	to ask her a question that she doesn't like the answer
5	to, now she says she shouldn't answer the question.
6	THE COURT: Counsel, first of all, let's do
7	it this way. Ms. Baals' testimony stands on its own,
8	speaks for herself. My recollection is somewhat in
9	accordance with yours. I'm going to have to look at my
10	notes to do that, number one. Number two, this witness
11	I think has expressed her opinion previously on this
12	whole this whole area. I don't think it's necessary
13	to get further involved with it.
14	MR. BENTLEY: Okay.
15	THE COURT: And third, your motion to strike
16	is denied.
17	MR. BENTLEY: Okay. Big surprise there.
18	(Laughing.) All right. Nothing further, Judge.
19	THE COURT: Anything else for the witness?
20	MS. REISMAN: No.
21	THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You can step
22	down. You're free to go.
23	THE WITNESS: And do I leave these things
24	here and let you sort them out or
25	THE COURT: Unless there's any of your

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 116 of 153 PageID: Co1160quy 115
1	anything of yours there.
2	THE WITNESS: No.
3	THE COURT: Just leave them there.
4	THE WITNESS: Okay.
5	THE COURT: The attorneys have a way of
6	sorting things sorting through things.
7	MR. BENTLEY: We have a way of stealing
8	things. (Laughing.)
9	THE COURT: (Laughing.) Yeah. Well, that
10	too.
11	MR. BENTLEY: Losing them.
12	THE COURT: It's now 12:00 noon. Should we
13	take our lunch recess now?
14	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
15	MS. REISMAN: That sounds
16	THE COURT: All right. We'll come back
17	1:00?
18	MR. BENTLEY: Yes.
19	THE COURT: Or thereabouts. Okay. See you
20	at 1:00.
21	(BRIEF RECESS)
22	THE COURT: Okay. We're back on the record.
23	All right. Counsel, we had a brief discussion. I have
24	to indicate what we briefly discussed, the witness
25	order the order of witnesses being called. And
	l

47)

Counsel may reach some agreement on that during the

2 recess of this matter or by the next time -- the next

3 session. So in the meantime if you would call your

MR. BENTLEY:

4 next witness.

MR. BENTLEY: Jane Elfreth, please.

MS. REISMAN: I would like -- to --

testimony.

curriculum.

THE COURT: You -- you can come up. Request for an offer of proof concerning the testimony that's being elicited.

Yes, Your Honor.

Jane -- is

the specialist employed at Haddon Heights Atlantic

Avenue School. She had a -- some involvement in the administration of the DRA, the second DRA that we heard testimony about regarding conversations that she had with Brenda Baals about that. Obviously, I'm going to ask her about that. But the primary focus of her testimony will be the types of services that can be provided to someone like H.M. in the general ed

There's always a concern in these particular cases and I think Dr. Williams addressed it briefly in her examination as well as in her report that after a decision is made to declassify a student, what happens? Will she be -- is there any type of services that can

be provided in -- in general education? And the tests, as you know, for determining eligibility is do you need special education services, special -- services to be able to advance in a general ed curriculum?

This witness is -- is being offered to -- to let the Judge know what type of services will be provided to -- to H.M. in the general ed setting if you -- if -- if the Court agrees with our determination of -- of not being eligible for special education. So she is here as a reading specialist at the Atlantic Avenue School offering testimony to you as to what types of -- of supports and so forth that would be -- that would be available to H.M.

MS. REISMAN: And our response is that the

-- obviously the testimony regarding Ms. Baals -- DRA

-- but the question of whether a student is eligible

for special education services is -- is not dependent

upon what the District has in its general education

program. It's irrelevant to the issue here and that I

don't -- I don't see any relevance to the issue at

hand.

THE COURT: Yeah. I tend to agree with that. I mean, if someone is -- is -- requires special education and related services the fact that they may get those same services outside of special education is

not relevant. I -- so I -- I don't know why that would
be of any interest to --

б

17 .

MR. BENTLEY: Just -- just to -- if -- if the Court had any kind of concerns as to whether or not H.M. would be left with no supports whatsoever, that's why we're offering -- again, a reading specialist employed at the District in that particular school working with general education kids. The offer of proof would be that this is what would be provided if she does have some -- any type of other needs.

If the Judge doesn't feel it's necessary -- determination is -- we can --

THE COURT: I'm trying -- I suppose to say it a different way is if certain kinds of services are provided to regular education students as a matter of course, that those should not be considered special education services. But I -- I don't know. I'm -- I just don't know that this would have any kind of impact on my determination of the -- of H.M.'s eligibility for special education services.

MR. BENTLEY: Can I have one second?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BENTLEY: Okay. Whatever your ruling is, Judge.

THE COURT: Yeah. My ruling is it's

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 120 of 153 PageID: Co1164uy 119
1	irrelevant.
2	MR. BENTLEY: Okay. And I'll
3	THE COURT: But you may proceed.
4	MR. BENTLEY: I'll be a lot brief than it
5	was. Okay. All right. (Laughing.)
6	THE COURT: To the side of benefit or
7	detriment, I'm not sure which but
8	MR. BENTLEY: (Laughing.)
9	MS. REISMAN: (Laughing.)
10	MR. BENTLEY: In this case it's kind of a
11	benefit I guess.
-12	THE COURT: All right.
13	MR. BENTLEY: The of
14	THE COURT: Let me have the witness sworn.
15	If you could raise your right hand to be sworn.
16	JAYNE ELFRETH, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS, SWORN
17	THE COURT: And your full name, please?
18	THE WITNESS: Jayne Elfreth.
19	THE COURT: And the spelling of your last
20	name?
21	THE WITNESS: E-L "F" as in "Frank"
22	R-E-T-H.
23	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
24	THE WITNESS: And if I may add Jayne is
25	spelled J-A-Y-N-E.

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 121 of 153 PageID: Elfreth 1425 Direct 120
1	THE COURT: Oh, thank you.
2	MR. BENTLEY: I didn't know that.
3	THE WITNESS: (Laughing.)
4	MR. BENTLEY: Thank you.
5	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENTLEY:
6	Q Ms. Elfreth, good afternoon.
7	A Good afternoon.
8	Q Who are you employed by?
9	A The Haddon Heights Board of Education.
10	Q In what capacity?
11	A As a reading specialist.
12	Q And when were you hired as a reading
13	specialist?
14	A I was hired in December of 2006. I'm thinking.
15	It's been like a year and a half.
16	Q A year and a half? Okay. Okay. Are you
17	assigned to a particular school or are you a reading
18	specialist throughout the district? What exactly are
19	your duties?
20	A Right now I'm assigned to Atlantic Avenue School.
21	Q That the school where H.M.'s a student at?
22	A Yes.
23	Q And have you been assigned to the Atlantic
24	Avenue School exclusively since December of 2006?
25	A Yes.

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 122 of 153 PagelD: Elfreth 1426 Direct 121
1	Q And what are your normal duties and so forth
2	as a reading specialist?
3	A Right now I am considered literacy support for
4	students in grades one through six as well as assisting
5	classroom teachers in the administration of a balanced
6	literacy program.
7	Q And what is a balanced literacy is it
8	balanced literacy
9	A Approach.
10	Q What is balanced literacy?
11	A Balanced literacy is addressing all aspects of
12 -	reading including reading and writing through Reader's
13	Workshop and Writer's Workshop.
14	Q And that is that's what's in place at the
15	Atlantic Avenue School
16	A Yes.
17	Q at the present time? Okay. What is
18	what is your certifications?
19	A I have a masters in reading and writing from Rider
20	University along with a New Jersey certificate of
21	reading in reading as a reading specialist.
22	Q And briefly what is your employment history

This is my 26^{th} year of employment in Haddon

Heights. The previous 23 were in instrumental music in

within Haddon Heights?

23

24

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 123 of 153 PageID: Elfreth 1427 Direct 122
1	grades four, five and six.
2	Q When did you get your masters in reading and
3	writing?
4	A In May of 2007 it well, I graduated, actually,
5	in December, December of 2006.
6	Q Okay. What
7	A Ceremony was in May of 2007.
8	Q Okay. Was there any type of reading
9	specialist for Atlantic Avenue School before you were
10	hired?
11	A No.
12	Q I'm going to draw your attention to a a
13	DRA. First of all, have you ever assessed H.M. or ever
14	had any type of assessment of her?
15	A Yes.
16	Q What in what capacity?
17	A I assessed her this year in the administration of
18	the QRI.
19	Q Did you administer any other tests of her in
20	fifth grade?
21	A No.
22	Q Okay. What's the QRI?
23	A It's called a Qualitative Reading Inventory and
24	it's assessment of right now it's a it's a multi-
25	assessment, but we are using it in Haddon Heights for

23

24

25

know what they are.

Actually, we don't know. MS. REISMAN: (Laughing.) It's not that we don't want the Judge to know what they are, it's that this is not something

that the team had at the time it made its decision.

THE COURT: You know, the usual -- the usual type of case that I have is one where there is -- a child is placed in an educational program and I'm to be asked to decide whether a specific IEP is appropriate and -- and usually after events had -- after the fact are allowed in because it's important to the child's interests -- in the best interests of the child to determine really what is -- you know, what the proper determination should be.

This is different, though. This is a determination that was made at a point in time that H.M. is not qualified or not eligible for special education and related services. I'm not sure that it would be fair to consider after -- events that occurred after the fact. And to the extent that this is a test that occurred after the fact I'm going to -- going to exclude it.

BY MR. BENTLEY:

- Q Ms. Elfreth, were you involved in a -- a DRA assessment of H.M. back in --
- A Did I administer one, are you asking?
 - Q Were you involved in -- in any capacity?
- 24 A Yes.

Q Okay. In what -- in what capacity?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

Ms. Baals approached me showing me the assessment that was -- the DRA, I should say, that was given by another teacher -- that was given to H.M. by another teacher and Ms. Baals was upset by it since she felt that that was not a true assessment of what H.M. could do or how she could do.

Okay. What, if anything, did you do in --Brenda Baals coming to you? Also, did she say why she was coming to you?

Because I'm the reading specialist in the building and she felt that I may know -- I may be able to direct her in - you know, as to regarding her concerns.

Okay. And did you offer direction to Ms. 0 Baals regarding her concerns?

I did. Α

And what did you tell her?

I asked her first what her feelings were as far --Α before I -- I directed her I asked her why she was concerned about the results and she said that she felt that that particular assessment that H.M. although may have had oral reading fluency issues, that comprehension wise she did not believe, due to her work with H.M., that that was a true assessment as to where she was reading.

So I questioned her further and I said may I ask

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

you how you would know, what -- what types of methods were you coming to me with. And she said well I know that she is reading books on her grade level and that she, Ms. Baals, has also read them and has had conversations with H.M. in regard to vocabulary in the book as well as in regard to matter within the book, meaning, and she said she really felt that that DRA was not a true assessment.

At that point I suggested that she re-administer the DRA, which is not unusual to do, to see whether or not that -- the results were the same or different.

Q Were you aware that the DRA in its -- in its instructs tells the test giver to stop at a certain point in time when --

Α Yes.

-- certain miscues are generated?

Α Yes.

Are you aware of that? Have you administered the DRA?

Α Yes.

What did you advise Ms. Baals regarding stopping or not stopping at a particular point? Α I advised her to go on because I felt that she really knew her student more than one assessment in a matter of time -- at a point in time.

1 0 Did you -- were you aware that DRA results 2 that were delivered -- were administered by Brenda 3 Baals?

> Α Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

O Did you have a chance to talk to either Mom or Dad about the results of that DRA?

Α Yes.

> The second -- the second --Q

Yes. Α

Okay. Tell us about that.

I believe that I spoke with Mr. M. in regard to Α his concerns with H.M. and her oral reading fluency and that we spoke at length about what is the point to reading and the fact that oral reading fluency in a student of the fifth grade, actually the fourth, fifth or sixth grade on up level is, even though it is -- I'm trying to think of the word. Even though it may cause H.M. -- even though H.M. may have difficulty in it, the true point to make -- to reading is to make meaning and that H.M. has demonstrated that she can make meaning through what she reads.

I also suggested that, in our conversation, that it is very rare for students in fourth grade on up through adulthood to read anything orally without having time to rehearse it. If they are asked to

	Case 1	:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 129 of 153 PageID: Elfreth 433 Cross 128
ra	1	perform in some manner that they would have time to
	2	rehearse it. And I suggested reading, you know, going
	3	over different plays and whatnot at home to be able to
	. 4	to encourage growth in that area.
	5	MR. BENTLEY: Nothing further, Judge.
	6	Thank you.
	7	THE COURT: Cross.
	8	THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
	9	THE COURT: Cross examine.
	10	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. REISMAN:
	11	Q When Ms. Baals came to you it was prior to
	12	January 22 nd of of this year, prior to her
	13	administration of DRA?
	14	A Prior to Ms. Baals' administration?
	15	Q Yes.
	16	A Yes.
	17	Q Okay. So it was is R-11 up there?
	18	Exhibit R-11.
	19	THE COURT: What is it? The the
	20	MS. REISMAN: It's the DRA.
	21	THE WITNESS: Yes.
	22	THE COURT: It should be. Yes.
	23	BY MS. REISMAN:
	24	Q And that was that was administered on
	25	January 22, 2008, correct?

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 130 of 153 PageID: Elfreth 1434 Cross 129
1	A Yes.
2	Q Okay. At that point in time you had not
.3	worked with H.M., correct?
4	A That's correct.
5	Q Okay. And you were relying upon what Ms.
6	Baals told you regarding this her student, what she
7	knew about the student, correct?
8	A When you say that I was relying on
9	Q Well, I believe, unless I misunderstood your
10	testimony, you you said that she came to you because
11	she was concerned about the results of of the first
12	administration of the DRA and you you felt that as a
13	person who knew the student that her that she her
14	concerns should be addressed. I I believe that's
15	what you said.
16	A I also looked at the copy of the the first DRA
17	that was given, not by Ms. Baals.
18	Q Okay. Did you see problems with the first
19	DRA?
20	A I saw problems in the area of oral reading
21	fluency.
22	Q That you saw that H.M. exhibited problems in
23	the area of oral reading fluency?
24	A According to the DRA it looked that way.
25	Q Okay. And I believe you testified that one

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 131 of 153 PageID: Elfreth 435 Cross 130
1	of Ms. Baals' concerns was that H.M. was reading books
2	at her grade level and was comprehending, correct?
3	A From what Ms. Baals told me H.M. was reading books
4	on her grade level and comprehending. Yes.
5	Q Do you know or did you ask the parents if
6	H.M. was using books on tape at all during the fifth
7	grade year to assist her in comprehension?
8	A On her independent reading books?
9	Q Yes.
10	A Did I ask the parent? No.
11	Q Okay. So you don't know whether she was or
12	not?
13	A That's correct. I do not know.
14	Q Would it affect your determination regarding
15	whether she has a reading problem if you knew that she
16	was using
17	MR. BENTLEY: Objection. There has been no
18	testimony regarding her conclusions of the reading
19	problem. All she said was she Brenda Baals to do on
20	the DRA, re-administer the test.
21	MS. REISMAN: I'll I'll withdraw the
22	question.
23	THE COURT: Okay.
24	BY MS. REISMAN:
25	Q And when you told Ms. Baals to re-administer
	·

NATE:

the test you told her not to follow the instructions on 1 2 the test?

I didn't tell her to not follow the instructions.

The DRA does -- is not a standardized test form and there are times in my training that I was told that not -- that you can certainly re-administer if you felt that the first test was invalid.

Okay. Could you turn to page -- the second Q page of R-11 and look at the bottom of the page? Α Okay.

And there's a place where the person 0 administering the test is to circle the number of miscues and she circled, "12 or more," and if you go to the next page and the teacher analysis of oral reading it indicates the number of miscues that are not selfcorrected were "22." Correct?

Yes. Α

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And self-corrected were "6?"

Α Yes.

Okay. And turning back to the -- to the Q second page, that -- that clearly falls within the area of "12 or more," correct?

Α Yes.

Miscues -- okay. Now underneath that it states, "The student score -- if a student's score

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

falls in one or both of the shaded areas above stop this assessment and reassess with the lower DRA text level at another time." Did you tell Ms. Baals not to follow that direction on this test?

Did I say, "Do not follow this?" Α

> Q Yes.

Α No.

Okay. Did you tell her that she should 0 follow the directions of the people who wrote the test? Α No.

Q Okay. Did you give her any guidance on whether or not she should follow the directions related to the number of miscues on the test?

We talked about the fact that the -- that it says Α in here that when you get to a certain number of miscues as it does, at the very bottom of the page, to stop the assessment. We talked about that. Yes. I said to her that in some cases if you really feel, if a teacher, the professional teacher, really feels that that is not an adequate assessment for the one time administration by a teacher who was not H.M.'s teacher also that I would, as a professional, I would encourage her to re-administer.

When you say, "Re-admin --

Α Give another --

	Case	Elfret \hat{H}^{438} Cross
9	1	Q But she didn't re-administer, she continued
	2	with the test.
	3	A Well, when I say, "re-administer," I mean, "Do not
	4	stop with this one."
	5	Q Okay. So you told her that notwithstanding
	6	the instructions on the the form of the test itself,
	7	that she should continue in spite of the number of
	8	miscues?
	9	A Yes. Because miscues are one portion of this
	10	particular assessment.
	11	Q Right. They're the portion that measures
	12	fluency?
	13	A Yes.
	14	Q And there's a portion that measures
	15	comprehension?
	16	A Correct.
	17	Q Okay. And so if you ignore the miscues then
	18	you you get information about comprehension in spite
	19	of miscues, correct?
	20	A Correct.
	21	Q But you don't get information about fluency?
·	22	A Correct.
	23	Q Okay. Are you aware that the Core Curriculum
1 .	24	Content Standards for the State of New Jersey require
	25	that students read independently for comprehension as
		l l

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 136 of 153 PageID: Raffert 1440 Direct 135
1	MR. BENTLEY: No, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
3	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
4	THE COURT: You may step down.
5	MR. BENTLEY: Can we go off the record?
6	THE COURT: Sure.
7	(BRIEF RECESS)
8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	MR. BENTLEY: Okay. George Rafferty.
10	THE COURT: Good afternoon, Mr. Rafferty.
11	THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.
12	THE COURT: You can please please raise
13	your right hand to be sworn.
14	GEORGE RAFFERTY, RESPONDENT'S WITNESS,
15	SWORN
16	THE COURT: You may be seated and please
17	state your full name for the record.
18	THE WITNESS: George Rafferty.
19	THE COURT: The spelling of your last name.
20	THE WITNESS: R-A-F-F-E-R-T-Y
21	THE COURT: Thank you, sir. Counsel, you
22	may proceed.
23	DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BENTLEY:
24	Q Mr. Rafferty, who are you employed by?
25	A Haddon Heights School District.

	Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 137 of 153 PageID: Rafferty 1441 Direct 136
	1	Q In what capacity?
	2	A Director of special education.
	3	Q And how long have you held that title?
	4	A For approximately seven months. Since March 2008.
	. 5	Q Welcome to the fire. (Laughing.) Briefly,
	6	your educational background?
	7	A I have a bachelors of I have a bachelors of
	8	arts degree in special education with a double major in
	9	academic psychology. I also have an associates degree
	10	from Hanuman University and an associates degree in
	11	science.
. •	12	Q And your certifications? Is that it?
	13	A I did my graduate work at Rowan University and I
	14	took some graduate coursework at Temple University. I
	15	earned a master of arts in school psychology and
	16	subsequently went on and earned an education specialist
	17	degree with a specialty in school psychology as well.
	18	Q Okay. I take it you've been a school
	19	psychologist before you became the director at Haddon
	20	Heights?
	21	A Yes.
	22	Q In what what district and for how long?
	23	A I was hired as a school psychologist with the
	24	Pennsauken School District for approximately four
	25	years. I worked at their high school and case managed

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 138 of 153 PageIC Rafferty Direct 137
1	students as a child study team case manager.
2	Q How long have you been a member of the child
3	study team?
4	A Actually, an actual member of the child study team
5	I functioned as my role as a school psychologist at the
6	Pennsauken High School.
7	Q Okay.
8	A I've been overseeing and supervising child study
9	teams for approximately eight years.
10	Q Your you may have touched upon this but
11	your certifications are New Jersey certifications or
12	A I hold New Jersey certifications in teacher of the
13	handicapped, elementary school teacher, school
14	psychologist, student personnel services, supervisor,
15	principal and school administrator.
16	Q I should have asked you which ones you don't
17	have.
18	A (Laughing.)
19	Q It's a lot easier to take notes but okay.
20	A I think I got them all.
21	Q Thank you. (Laughing.)
22	A I'm also a nationally certified school
23	psychologist as well.
24	Q Okay. I have just just one of
25	questions. I think you came on board you said at

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 139 of 153 PageID Rafferty 1443 Direct 138
1	Haddon Heights at what time?
2	A Actually, my official first day was March 10,
3	2008.
4	Q Did you have any personal involvement in the
5	decision by the child study team to that H.M. was
6	not eligible for special education?
7	A Personal involvement?
8	Q Yes.
9	A In terms of just overseeing their activities or
10	I had
11	Q Yeah. Sure.
12	A I had no involvement in the decision.
13	Q Okay.
14	A TO
15	Q That that was my question. Were you
16	involved in did you tell them what to say, what not
17	to say?
18	A No, I do not.
19	Q Okay. Were you involved in a strike that.
20	MR. BENTLEY: This is going to be R-34?
21	THE COURT: R-35.
22	MR. BENTLEY: R-35?
23	THE COURT: Yes.
24	(R-35 marked for
25	Identification.)

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 140 of 153 PageID: Rafferty 1444 Direct 139
1	BY MR. BENTLEY:
2	Q Mr. Rafferty, I'm going to show you a
3	document that was marked R-35 for identification for
4	the record. June June 16, 2008 letter to Mr. and
5	Mrs. M. Take a look at it, please. Is that your
6	letter, sir?
7	A Yes.
8	Q Okay. Your signature is that the second
9	page?
10	A Correct.
11	MR. BENTLEY: I'd like to move R-35 in
12	evidence.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MS. REISMAN: No objection.
15	THE COURT: R-35 in evidence.
16	(R-35 received in
17	Evidence.)
18	MR. BENTLEY: And I'm sorry. Do you
19	have a copy, Judge? Did I give you a copy?
20	THE COURT: No. No.
21	MR. BENTLEY: Sorry. Okay.
22	THE COURT: Thank you.
23	BY MR. BENTLEY:
24	Q Mr. Rafferty, tell us the circumstances as to
25	why R-35 was written to the M.'s?
	i l

	Rafferty ⁴⁴³ Direct 14
1	A Well, we had we had received a report, as
2	indicated in the letter, conducted at St. Joe's
3	University's reading clinic. This report was provided
4	to the Department and we were asked as to whether the
5	results of that report had changed would change the
6	child study team's determination to find the student
7	ineligible for special education.
8	Q Okay. Did you share the St. Joe's report of
9	by Ms. Caitlin Westcott with the team?
10	A Yes, I did.

Q Did you have any conversations with the team as to whether or not that particular report would change the eligibility determination?

A Yes. I asked them to review -- review the report and its results and to consider it in light of their decision made on May $14^{\rm th}$.

Q Okay. And did you have a chance to review the report yourself?

A Yes.

Q Okay. The letter speaks for itself in that it does not change the eligibility determination, I mean the St. Joe's report. Is there a reason why?

A Well, the -- the -- the child study team felt and I concurred that the results were not inconsistent with what we had seen across the board in -- in evaluating

Cross.

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 143 of 153 PageID: Raffert \(\frac{1}{2} \frac{447}{2} \) Cross 142
1	MS. REISMAN: What?
2	MR. BENTLEY: He's cold.
3	MS. REISMAN: He's cold. Oh.
4	THE COURT: We can we can move somewhere
5	else but I think it's probably too
6	UNIDENTIFIED MALE VOICE: No, no, I'm fine.
7	MR. BENTLEY: Can't make he's cold.
8	MS. REISMAN: Let me mark this. What number
9	are we up to?
10	THE COURT: P the next is 21. P-21.
11	(P-21 marked for
12	Identification.)
13	MS. REISMAN: (Coughing.)
14	MR. BENTLEY: This is
15	MS. REISMAN: This this document the
16	letter.
17	MR. BENTLEY: Okay.
18	MS. REISMAN: (Coughing.)
19	CROSS EXAMINATION BY MS. REISMAN:
20	Q Mr. Rafferty, could you read P-21, please?
21	A Yes.
22	MR. BENTLEY: Would you like him to read
23	the entire thing or just
24	THE COURT: No.
25	MS. REISMAN: No. But I'm asking him
	I I

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 144 of 153 PageID: Rafferty Cross 143
 1	give him a chance to read to ask a question.
2	THE WITNESS: Just the report? It looks
3	like there's several pages of parent interview and
4	BY MS. REISMAN:
5	Q Right. That was is this what was provided
6	to you in
7	A No.
8	Q May of
9	A Just the report.
10	Q Just the report.
11	A Just the report.
12	Q Just the
13	A Everything up to where we get to "Parent/Guardian
14	Interview."
15	Q Okay. So
16	A But I have the report.
17	Q You did have the report?
18	A Yes.
19	Q Okay. So we the last
20	THE COURT: Just have him disregard it.
21	THE WITNESS: Okay.
22	BY MS. REISMAN:
23	Q Just disregard that part. Okay. And you
 24	reviewed the entire report as and on or after May
25	30, 2008?
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

A Yes, I did.

Q Okay. And turning to -- just to clarify for the record. So P-21 is the St. Joseph's report that we've been hearing testimony about today. This is the report that everyone's been referring to, correct?

A Yes.

Q Okay. On page four if you look at the top of the page it indicates that "H.M. attained an instructional level, the highest level which she can benefit from guided reading at the fifth grade level." Correct?

l A Yes.

Q And then it goes on to state that "Her strength and text based comprehension contributed greatly to her overall -- score." Is that right?

A Yes, I see that.

Q Okay. And then it -- it discusses some of the other results. And then at the last few sentences of that paragraph, "It is clear that as she is placed in a classroom that -- text based comprehension she would perform at her grade level. However, if she was expected to demonstrate strength and higher level thinking performance indicates that she may have considerable difficulty and need extensive explicit instruction and higher level thinking strategies at the

	Case	Raffertly 50 Cross 145
	1	fifth grade level." Does
	2	A Yes, I see
	3	Q Did you
	4	A I see that. Yes.
	5	Q Did the team discuss that finding?
	6	A We the the team reviewed the report and
	7	and considered what was in it. We didn't discuss that
	8	that particular finding specifically or explicitly.
	9	Q Okay.
	10	MR. BENTLEY: I'm sorry, Judge. Finding
	11	that she's at a fifth grade level? Is that the
7 %.	12	conclusion or
	13	MS. REISMAN: No. The finding that she's
	14	
	15	MR. BENTLEY: or the last sentence that
	16	you read? Because I didn't know what it was
	17	MS. REISMAN: The finding that if she is
	18	expected that she will have that she may have
	19	considerable difficulty and need extensive explicit
	20	instruction and higher level thinking strategies at the
	21	fifth grade level.
	22	MR. BENTLEY: Okay.
	23	BY MS. REISMAN:
1	24	Q Now at the the recommendations, the the
	25	part that you cited was that "H.M. would benefit from
		ı

Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 147 of 153 PageID Rafferty Cross 146
1	instruction at the fifth grade level." Correct?
2	A Yes.
3	Q The the sentence actually reads " that
4	makes use of strong pre-reading focusing on the
5	development of story structure to the high level of
6	which serve to establish purposes for reading." Is
7	that right?
8	A Correct.
9	Q It also indicates that she would benefit from
10	post-reading strategies. Is that right?
11	A Yes, I see that.
12	MR. BENTLEY: Judge, is this witness going
13	to be asked to to say what the what my adversary
14	is going to read? I mean, if that's the purpose the
15	report speaks for itself.
16	MS. REISMAN: Well I
17	MR. BENTLEY: I'm not sure the premise of
18	the question.
19	MS. REISMAN: I can shorten it.
20	BY MS. REISMAN:
21	Q You testified and today that the team
22	focused on the fact that the beginning of that one
23	sentence says that H.M instruction in fifth grade
24	that and it goes on. Did the team consider all of
25	the other information?
	l de la companya de

Case 1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 148 of 153 PageID: Co11453uv 147

A Yes. I -- I think that was something that stood out to us as a finding that was -- that was reported by this evaluator. We felt that the report was not unimportant or unuseful, but that it could help in -- form instruction. We just didn't see the instruction as being special education instruction. We thought -- we felt as thought that what was being recommended was well within the range which -- which could be provided in a general education classroom.

MS. REISMAN: That's all I have.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MR. BENTLEY: No. No, Your Honor.

MS. REISMAN: I -- I'd like to move for the admission of P-21 without the pages after the signature page.

MR. BENTLEY: I would object, Your Honor.

I believe the -- and having -- should be here to

testify to.

THE COURT: There's -- there's two things that are of concern to me. One -- one is not a concern and I would usually overrule the objection because if this is a document that was considered by the child study team then it should be part of the record in this matter.

MR. BENTLEY: Sure.

THE COURT:

But now this is an -- this is a

THE COURT: All right.

23

24

25

MS. REISMAN: In addition, the child study team were informed of the results of this report prior

	Case	1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 152 of 153 PageID: Co11456uy 151
-75×	1	MR. BENTLEY: All right.
	2	THE COURT: Okay. P-21 in evidence after
	3	you remove the portions that are were not provided
	4	to the child study team. Anything else of Mr.
	5	Rafferty?
	6	MR. BENTLEY: No, Your Honor.
	7	THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
	8	THE WITNESS: Thank you.
	9	THE COURT: It wasn't that hot of a seat.
	10	THE WITNESS: No, it was cold, actually.
•	11	THE COURT: (Laughing.) All right.
	12	Anything further?
erda ya karani kara Karani	13	MR. BENTLEY: I don't believe so, Your
	14	Honor. This is in right.
	15	THE COURT: All right. We'll go off the
	16	record. Off the record.
	17	{Whereupon, the proceedings were adjourned.}
	18	* * * *
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
e e gardi	24	
	25	

STATE OF NEW JERSEY } COUNTY OF MERCER I, Kelly Sellers, AD/T# 544, assigned transcriber, do hereby affirm that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the proceedings in the matter of R.M. and B.M. o/b/o H.M. v. Haddon Heights Board of Education bearing Docket No. EDS 4902-08, heard on December 8, 2008 before the Office of Administrative Law Court. Kelly Sellers

Case 1:09-cv-04293-NLH-AMD Document 25-7 Filed 04/04/11 Page 153 of 153 PageID: